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LEADERS AND ISSUES
AT
SOUTHERN OREGON COLLEGE
1963 to 1980
Foreword
What goes on outside the classroom, in faculty and chairpersons’ offices, in the inner chambers of presidents and deans, at a typical state or private college? Is it placid? Or is there built in concern about curriculum, job security and tenure, and indispensable freedoms...? What kinds of things worry faculty members: details of The System? The continuity of one’s presence on the campus? What should be joined to please others, building consensus? Is thought given to what is taught and to how it is taught?

This is an account by one who spent nearly two decades on the faculty at a state college in the Northwest 31 to 48 years ago. It is casual—yet emotional; it can be jovial—yet deadly serious. It is specific—yet full of generalizations. Its purpose is twofold: to reveal the inner history of a single institution in mountainous Oregon, but at the same time to portray part of a single lifetime in some detail. That individual’s career was supposed to be focused on lifelong research scholarship but somehow got derailed. It developed into a life overwhelmed by minutia and detoured by leaders with their own agendas. VDB’s original goal did live on to emerge in another day.

There is much here that is personal not just to the author but to many who passed his way. Many who are mentioned here are gone but clearly not really forgotten. The audience for this reminiscence may well be surviving members of the faculty, administration, and student body of this college who live on from the overall Vietnam era and after. It is alumni of the college. Hopefully, it is some in the public with curiosity about academia who want “the inside story”—so far as there is one. For all such conceivable readers, while conscious of the impossibility of pleasing everybody; certain sure that the effort is more than worthwhile; the author cheerfully offers this final enriched postscript to his memories of one college.

Vaughn Davis Bornet

Ashland, Oregon
A Reminiscence
Southern Oregon College—now Southern Oregon University—was once a normal school intended to train future teachers. It has grown to have multiple goals. The campus is blessed with sequoia and oak planted acreage that is green most of the year and brilliant in autumn, a place that offers a view of mountains across the valley. The institution is in a climate that is a bit gentle with its heat in the summer and seldom really frigid in the winter. Indeed, the locale in the pleasant town of Ashland now makes recruits for faculty positions eager to spend their careers right here. The college we recall—SOC—is considered by perceptive leaders to be a major player in the Oregon State System of Higher Education. It is the centerpiece of my essay on educational leaders and issues that thrived on Siskiyou Boulevard so many decades ago.

This reminiscence, amounting to a personalized historical account of administrators, faculty, staff, and students in a small college environment, has been written almost entirely from memory by one who was part of the development scene at the time and found the experience stimulating, entertaining, and (as will be apparent) sometimes upsetting. After long pushing the subject to one side, I finally decided in August, 2007 to begin to write down much of what I recall about Southern Oregon College in the era of the nineteen sixties and seventies (1963 to 1980). In those years I was a faculty member and administrator in what was destined to become the only major university south of Eugene, Oregon and north of the California state line high on the Siskiyou Mountains. It turned out to be a pleasure to relive most of my memories of this venerable Jackson County institution. I hoped with publication to contribute substantially to knowledge of yesterday’s higher education in Oregon, and spread awareness of how higher education actually functions in America.

Inevitably, there is much in this 25,600 word essay that was experienced exclusively by this writer. Welcome, dear reader: If local, you will recognize the names of many who are mentioned or dwelt on in this narrative. You will probably have given little thought to at least some of the issues I discuss. And whether you planned it or not, you will be reading my opinions on many subjects--and will have the opportunity to dispute them (at least in your own mind). Sometimes, I fear, candor in revelation may offend. One regrets that. Still, let’s develop some feeling for what we’re going to be talking about.

Early in this new century (2002) there appeared a nicely illustrated and well-organized book that sought to recreate the history of Southern Oregon University from its beginning to late in the 20th century. It emerged from a decade of intermittent effort by Arthur Kreisman, a longtime Liberal Arts
professor and dean at the institution who was there from 1946 to 1981. During much of his long tenure he enjoyed a front row center office in Churchill Hall. His book was a SOU Foundation funded project and a retirement activity. He obviously enjoyed his handling of old catalogs and other source materials, his interviews, and the opportunity to recreate old memories. His book, *Remembering,* usually reflects the author’s customary cheerful outlook. An assignment carried through professionally by one who taught English for several generations, it filled a gap in College history with flair. It deserves being bought and read.

My participant’s essay, here, on the institutional history of Southern Oregon College when it developed rapidly into a modern institution, will keep the existing account in mind. Yet that book is mostly about the nineteenth and early twentieth century era of institutional development. (It gives 100 pages to 1869 to 1960; 37 from that date through 1999. *About 6 ½ pages of print deal with the period I treat here.*) That decision to focus on the 19th and early 20th Century helped in making the decision that my long essay, though essentially on the Vietnam era and its aftermath, could make a contribution. Individuals are here on whom he is silent. Among subjects treated here are many on which he lacked room or the interest to handle in depth.

*** *** ***

The author of this account will always be that outsider who came to Ashland during the Christmas holidays of 1962-63, met a handful of leaders at the College, had breakfast at the home of President Elmo Stevenson, and was chauffeured by my host up and down the Boulevard. I met some administrators for what seemed virtually idle chatter. Not yet fully convinced when I checked out of the Mark Anthony Hotel’s radiator-heated room, I was offered the chance to be hired officially by presidential telegram a few hours after I arrived back home in Santa Monica. Though only a few lines, it used the word “tenure” and I idly assumed it meant what it said. (I had no idea then that in the coming locale the word “permanent” needed to be incorporated to mean what I thought

So that was how Dr. Bornet was in Ashland as an officed and titled fait accompli when everybody came back a week later for the winter quarter. I had met nobody in the Social Sciences, as I recall. Perhaps their acquiescence was irrelevant or thought to be irrelevant or just inconvenient. Maybe the whole social sciences faculty was out of town for the holidays. (I came to believe, eventually, that the Administration folks feared open resistance to the hiring of the entirely atypical new chairman and maybe to his outsized rank, one not earned “the hard way” (teaching continuously in colleges from instructor on up). Anyway: welcome to academia—and good fortune attend ye.

Newcomer Bornet had not discussed the extent of his teaching with the official who would soon become the Dean of Faculty, for it was his role as administrator and figure in the greater community and leader in social science that had chiefly occupied initial conversations. Therefore it was a shock to find that the “needs” of the suddenly growing college meant that it was with a straight face that he was informed that he would be teaching, for “awhile,” 15 hours, the same as non-administrative faculty! The courses would be a combination of all of Western Civilization in a survey and the common year-long survey of American History from beginning to the present date! Except for Asia and Africa, it was most of human history. Still, he had done it before.

How could this be happening? Shortly, it was intimated that after two quarters he would get a one course reduction. Good, but…. Meanwhile, there would be summer session on his 12-month contract, and giving graduate credit courses for returning teachers. Apparently SOC intended to get their money’s worth. An early reader of this account has inquired if Vaughn planned to enjoy teaching after years of research and limited teaching. In retrospect, respondent believes the question never occurred to him as he faced forward, beset with two children transitioning to Ashland High School from giant Santa Monica schools (where his son had a Negro history teacher and his daughter marched in the Rose Bowl parade). In the first few minutes on the Boulevard his daughter learned that one Arthur Kreisman from the SOC English Department had advised, strongly and successfully, that Latin be abandoned at the high school. She would have to take the rest of her fourth year as a UCal extension course!

Eighteen blocks from Santa Monica Pier and the Pacific Ocean surf, my dear wife Beth, who had lived with her scholar husband in clearly sophisticated Alameda and Oakland, Miami, Menlo Park, Glen Ellyn (suburb of Chicago), and Santa Monica after growing out of Susanville (California) and then Reno,
privately shed a few tears, I was later informed. “You are taking me to another [remote] Susanville,” she exclaimed. Of course we were totally unaware of the happy evolution that time had in mind for tiny and obscure Ashland beyond the Siskiyou Pass. At the time I-5 the new highway wasn’t quite finished, signboards were common, the Britt Festival was not created, Howard Prairie Resort wasn’t built--and neither were over 20 buildings on the College campus.

I arrived in that something of a frontier town of 11,000 native and adopted Oregonians with a not unimpressive background on paper, one that included university teaching and experience with the wartime military and large nonprofit organizations. My sketch first appeared in Who’s Who in America seven years earlier. In fall, 1962, I had been reluctantly “budgeted out” by my prestigious employer, The RAND Corporation, the noted think tank; but I still worked routinely in my office and was still on the payroll. (Explanation: as its Historian, I was then classified as “administration” and the Air Force (which had demanded “history”) switched gears and said bluntly to cut such “overhead” areas way back. There was no rush, but I and others had to depart.) I would be taking my three published books and Distinguished Service Award from the American Heart Association and that Stanford Ph.D. with me. (My boss there, a pioneer employee, would finally be liquidated in similar budget-cutting. He sued. But well before that, he brought me back for meaningful archival and historical work for all of my sabbatical summer of 1969.) It is not surprising after more than a decade of nonprofit corporation employment that I developed insights into the organization and affairs of SOC, the new locale I had chosen for life and work for years to come. But back to College affairs!

*** *** ***

Southern Oregon College on Siskiyou Boulevard was in the early 1960s a small, quiet, and friendly place for students and faculty that educated modest numbers of male and female students in an education and liberal arts curriculum. It gave them ample contact with a faculty permanently ensconced in Ashland. After my retirement in 1980, a feature of my golfing encounters with miscellaneous old duffers was their prompt and sentimental mentioning of old time faculty by name and very personally. SONS (Normal School) alumni appreciated what they got from the struggling Ashland institution in their years of matriculation, and they apparently wouldn’t have had it any other way.

In the early 1960s the College of which we speak did not yet have its Drama building, its Education building, its new Science building, a Computer
headquarters, Taylor Hall for the social sciences, a Central Hall converted to a Business building, the Music building, Stevenson Union, all those dormitories, or a model Library with its easy chairs, mountain views, and a snack bar. Britt needed expensive maintenance. The Library was, well, bare bones.

Southern Oregon College then consisted academically of five Divisions. I would venture to rank them in order of “importance” to the president and persons of consequence (considered by some criterion or other) as: Education, Science, PE, Business, Humanities, and Social Science. The ranking is not scientific, but it is not far off. Changes were coming, but the deanships already to be found at University of Oregon and Oregon State University, and soon to be granted Portland State University (with their $2,000 annual increases to the administrative chairmen about to become instant deans) did not exist at the Eastern, Western, and Southern colleges. That’s life.

Things seemed temporary in the early 1960s. Churchill Hall needed a top to bottom overhaul. Attending a faculty meeting in its upstairs auditorium was a depressing experience. Britt, a former basketball locale, was in disrepair and friendless. The perfunctory Library was jammed into multipurpose Central Hall and could not expand beyond its space stingy boundaries. Grandstands for cheering football audiences, and decent dressing rooms for the players, lay somewhere in the future. There was no swimming pool. Suzanne Holmes Hall was then a central location. Overall, the College we are recalling (1963) had a plant that was not ready to receive any serious growth in students.

What that College transitioning in Ashland did have was an able and serious faculty and an organization that over the years had become fully able to offer worthwhile classes of 4-year college level. That somewhat middle aged faculty (it does seem to me in retrospect) was, well, responsible. Some students were being housed, and those commuting from home or rented quarters could park more or less in the vicinity of their classes. The loyalty of alumni was quite noticeable. Though the following judgment is trite, it is still easy to assert with some justification that what the College on Siskiyou Boulevard then lacked in physical resources of almost every kind, it strove to make up with integrity at the grass roots and extra effort in faculty-student relations.

*** *** ***

Why should anybody still have such a considerable memory of the growth, development, and tribulations of this small institution from 1963 to 1980? It is true that Bornet gained these insights by virtue of chairmanship of
its Social Sciences Division for well over a decade, participation as a major player in its summer sessions, manager of one of its buildings, director of social sciences graduate programs, and professor of history and social sciences. My life in those years also involved frequent interaction with the greater Rogue Valley community, including membership after 1963 in the Ashland Rotary Club. I developed into an Ashland citizen and a college figure with an “observation post.” A revealing account of intimate matters appears in my autobiography An Independent Scholar in Twentieth Century America (Talent, OR: Bornet Books, 1995), 382 pages, illustrated, chapters XVIII and XIX.

When our newcomer entered his new position the unit he was to administer was simply called “social science.” That seemed a high school type term to which one quickly added an “s.” The group consisted of fulltime faculty organized into a single entity with about a dozen overworked teachers. They aspired to being departmentalized by specialties, each having its own chairman with a load allowance. They anticipated that far off happy era with unrestrained ardor. Two of them had hoped to be named division chairman when I was imported in 1963, and outspoken Frank Haines had actually served for an unhappy week in the post before being ousted by an angry president. We faculty shared a secretary from Extension, begrudging and ad hoc, and we resided primitively in Myrtlewood Hall, a wooden barracks building moved from old Camp White. Even though then virtually slumming, I chose to envision better days ahead, for a new building had been designed and was about to be built, and vague promises made to me early on seemed plausible enough.

*** *** ***

Perhaps as we necessarily begin to focus repeatedly on Elmo Stevenson, “Elmo” as powerful president, it will be permissible and revealing to relate that as Bornet considered in December 1962 whether to make the thousand mile journey north from Santa Monica to be interviewed for the SOC position, he was strongly advised against making any contact by a History chairman in a California state university who had been offered the job and refused. My former library office-mate at Stanford Library, 1948-51, now tenured at Chico, he said he had learned that Elmo Stevenson’s authoritarian presence at the top made the College unacceptable to anybody with a concern for academic freedom. Another individual in Los Angeles who had grown up locally and had familiarity with Churchill Hall was equally unenthusiastic. Soon one would learn that they were describing reality. In addition to the burden of that interventionist president, there would turn out to be three major problems that
recipient of nine college years couldn’t eat: I was not an “educator” and didn’t act like one. I was not an old timer in Ashland or at the College. Also, I couldn’t avoid the aspect of “big city boy.” Although one had never felt the slightest need to think of himself in any such ways, it does seem to be a description of that time and place to be accepted and danced around.

My role as a College leader should not be overemphasized whatever the number of paragraphs offered here to covering its activities. Nor is it claimed that vast amounts of additional anecdotal material still rest in my head, untold. Even so, SOC (as we termed it until State was added) had a considerable tradition of—may I say—secrecy within its administrative halls. In such a place, an institution constantly strapped for money, knowledge of the passing scene helped one to maintain, if not real power, at least the ability to function—and maybe to remain on the payroll when others didn’t. One soon got to know useful things. But I remained void on such pertinent matters as: How and for what were SOC Foundation funds spent? How were extra expenditures on the academic and the athletic balanced equitably? What procedures would be used to choose new presidents? Who in the community had “access” on important subjects affecting faculty? Such questions have retained their interest.

*** *** ***

Before continuing, we really should summarize what the attractive book Remembering tells us with charm. Revealed there is how a community effort in a frontier town in 1869 gave birth to an educational enterprise that some thirteen decades later would achieve the name Southern Oregon University. Enroute, it is evident that blood, sweat and frustration accompanied the struggles of townspeople, presidents, and faculty to educate all who sought to become teachers or came to seek other occupations. Certain of the book’s characteristics quickly struck this reader favorably. One is the orderly basic outline: the first 76 pages go to the First, Second, and Third “Incarnations,” bringing the narrative to 1946. The rest of the volume treats The Stevenson Years, Becoming a University, and a few later developments. The very extensive illustrations are commendable but must compete with the prose for the limited space, especially in the part of the book devoted to subjects of interest in this essay. The author says his book was “a joy to work on.”

Remembering offers information not available or readily knowable until its writing and publication. A. G. Walling’s rare and rambling History of Southern Oregon (1884) was useful in creating early pages, while college
catalogs, annual presidential reports, and the author’s memory were central to most chapters. All presidents are sketched, and many faculty and some Ashlanders are portrayed, with identifications. That distant history of Southern Oregon University is both a cheering and a depressing tale: a normal school getting created out of thin air with grass roots faith and determination, only to be handicapped as the years passed by knifing of some (even ALL) of state appropriations needed to survive. One gnashes….

In 1884 the *Tidings* said those up north in Oregon “studiously ignore the fact that there is a State Normal School in Ashland.” Dr. Kreisman judges, “Apparently, ACNS was headed downhill from the outset.” The Academy, Academy and Normal School, State Normal School, College of Education, State College, and now University were short-sheeted by the people’s legislators in Salem for the century and a quarter since 1882. It is a matter for astonishment that such leaders as William Thomas Van Scoy, W. M. Clayton, Benjamin F. Mulkey, Harry Shafer, Julius Alonzo Churchill, and Walter Redford hung in there. They kept the virtually bankrupt institution more or less afloat in the face of undependable church allocations and downright stingy appropriations from the people’s representatives in Salem. With the departure of Walter Redford from the presidency on January 1, 1946 Southern Oregon College of Education lost a fine man, in my opinion. He was charming to me.

Ever there was that Oregon State System, centered on the university campus in Eugene. Its State Board, the one that in 2006 couldn’t find *anywhere* several million dollars to keep Southern Oregon University intact in the biennium, happily accepted in 2007 a gift of some $100,000,000 earmarked to build a basketball court and shore up athletics in general at the University of Oregon. One has to be approving of the generous gesture. At the same time, may we be outraged as SOU (*part of the same System*) continues to kill or maim some of its major academic programs and fire essential faculty and staff? La Grande might have to fire 35 faculty, one read in 2007. Meanwhile, far off Oregon State University is able to raise vast amounts for itself from urbanized Oregon. Yet, withal, the University of Oregon Press in the new century was allowed to die a quiet death, leaving only the science-dedicated Oregon State University Press as an outlet for the State’s book writing faculty.

One might well ask at this point: what kind of a state *is* Oregon? If it wasn’t going to support the universities in La Grande, Monmouth, and Ashland in a professional manner, why did it create them? Their real estate and physical plants certainly comprise a sizable investment. As one looks at the history of
SOU, an educational institution located hundreds of miles from the seats of monetary power in Oregon (Portland, Salem, Eugene), it is obvious that Higher Education facilities in Ashland were created without the full commitment of the citizenry of the state of Oregon, especially those who reside in solvent cities “up state.” Maybe, as some think (but it can be rejected), the regional colleges now universities have just over expanded by taking on too many exotic programs. Is modern SOU being unrealistic to hope for adequate funding over the long term? Let’s hope not! But I digress, even as I must venture truths that need general and repeated airing.

*** **** ***

Some common problem areas for American faculty do have to be discussed here as they applied to our College of long ago. For decades it was the practice for this teaching locale to employ some faculty and administrators for 12 months at the same salary scale as the northern one’s instructors had for 10 months. (The half-truth excuse: “University faculty have to do research.”) The faculty teaching load at SOC was 15 credit hours as the Fifties became the Sixties, when the national standard at public colleges was typically 12 and at universities was 9 or for the famous even less. Thus: everybody on full time at the regional colleges taught five courses per quarter instead of four. Teaching more than 15 credits from time to time was not unheard of at SOC. My predecessor, Arthur Taylor, once even taught 21 course hours (7 classes!). Still, the 12 hour standard was achieved in the 1960s, along with grudging load allowances for teaching administrators.

Do recall: the tiny College was nearly eliminated by the manpower drain of World War II. It seized on every enrollable body in the postwar years to add students. Lower Division class size grew at the College in all too many instances through the years from the low 20s to the number of chairs that could be made to fit the classroom. Unlike the case at major universities, all are aware, faculty and teaching administrators at SOC taught real loads and had students filling their seats, certainly in the lower division. Division chairmen did their share. In all, during my 17 years as 12-month contract faculty member at SOC (17 summers!), I taught 23 different courses, from freshman to graduate level, in a variety of departments. (All in all I was not unhappy with the great variety, which brought continuous personal learning.)

*** **** ***
President Elmo Stevenson must be featured by all who write on the College. He was central. All should know that handsome rancher-educator, the post-war builder of the modern college who served 1946 to 1969, brought untold energy and determination with him when he arrived in the first year of the G.I. Bill. I was always glad my office and teaching were not within Churchill Hall, which housed administrative offices, including his. The president’s space, registrar and admissions, business office, and deans were all, happily, far away from me. Outgoing chairman Taylor said repeatedly to an uncomprehending Bornet: “Vaughn you’ll have to spend a lot of time hanging around in the halls of Churchill.” Never did one do that, voluntarily anyway.

The history of the College and the biography of botany enthusiast and educator Stevenson are intertwined. Growth in foreign students was possible in his years, for he often treated our Kenyan and Nigerian students with enthusiasm, and he joined with Mrs. Stevenson in hosting in their home a handsome Ethiopian student for much more than a year. Later, President and Mrs. James Sours befriended an entrepreneurial Turkish student for a very long time before he finally departed Ashland. If there were few Negro (about to be termed Black) students at SOC, the reason lay primarily in distance from urban minority students and the traditionally inhospitable climate for them in much of Oregon. Some young men were imported for their football potential, but a male/female balance was hard to obtain. I sought out Sherwood Roberts in Law Enforcement, from India via USC to be SOC’s first dark skinned faculty member. He evolved into a friend of ours and one whose home was a pleasure to visit; sadly, his life was short, but his well educated children live on.

Publications by faculty, whether major or minor, brought few or no benefits to the proud author, while arousing something like zero interest in that rancher/president. Did he think a load allowance for research would be desertion of the students? Wouldn’t teaching students be unduly neglected while creating that mere book? In one case he pushed a just published volume of mine to one side, unopened, and said, “Good. I’ll give it to the Library.” Yet the president himself had published in earlier years, especially for juveniles. His titles included Nature Rambles (1937), Nature Games Book (1941), Key to the Nests of Pacific Coast Birds (1941), Pets: Wild and Western (1953), and he co-authored a text with a biology professor. None of this, one opines, made him even remotely at home bonding with a “fellow author.”

The forgiving, bordering on empathetic, picture of “Elmo” in Remembering’s pages is of an old style dynamo--a more flattering portrait than
emerges in my far longer autobiography, An Independent Scholar in Twentieth Century America. Our bottom line in both books is oddly similar. Kreisman—whose office was just across the hall from the President—judges: “During this period [his years] everyone was directly responsible to the president. If faculty members wanted or needed anything, they went to the president. Stevenson knew what everyone was doing. Some felt this was too autocratic. And yet, in my experience, no faculty member with an idea or a project was ever turned down, but was instead given whatever help possible. This continued for many years, even after some departments were created.”

Later, he explains, a bit tactfully: “Since arriving, Stevenson had taken command of all aspects of the School’s administration. He knew where everyone was, what the problems were, and what to do. That worked well in a small school. He was able to run it out of his vest pocket. But SOC had grown substantially larger over the years. Stevenson did not seem to realize that with more than 3,000 students, no single person could run the entire institution.” That he controlled policy, in fact, was entirely evident to even casual observers.

In 1966 the fed up Faculty Senate resigned, for it “became increasingly unhappy” with their erratic boss in Churchill Hall. Although Elmo’s publicly released perception was (as always) that “faculty spirit is good,” we are told in Remembering that leaders “could no longer accept being ignored by the administration which did not listen to their recommendations and took actions without their knowledge or consent. They felt useless and slighted.” (p. 105) Their president’s controlling conduct was to become directly responsible for the development of a faculty trade union. Its growth in that era is not well known to me, but I was unenthusiastic. How could I be accepting of a Senate, or cheer on a faculty Union, when the leaders of both sought to bypass established College administrative machinery--department chairs, division heads, and deans alike? But I did not attack the union publicly and had nothing to do with it or the Senate either as friend or adversary. Their focus was on presidential power. I stood aside at the time, maybe conceptualizing myself as a “professional” not a “union man” and as management, not labor. One didn’t seem to have a dog in that fight! (I did, and would duly find out!) Now it all seems so long ago….

Does existing prose about the College anywhere, including in present-day press releases, try to remember yesterday’s key individuals so as to give them the credit they deserve? For reasons that need not concern us, the necessary answer has to be in the negative. This professional historian will be giving his attention to a coterie of SOC leaders who—for whatever reason--get little
attention in *Remembering*. Once virtual pioneers, they and their works are being totally forgotten as the years pass. Maybe it can’t be helped. Anyway, under these circumstances, I wish to exalt the achievements of several Southern Oregon College leaders of my day—real movers and shakers.

First and most important, there is *Don Lewis*, the competent administrator in charge of physical plant including buildings. He was a knowledgeable manager of the visible College and of financial matters. He somehow endured the complaints of faculty when the meager funds of the modern college were inadequate to their assigned burden—which was all the time. He handled details attending construction of 27 buildings—nearly all the modern buildings of which observers of the campus are now so proud! Probably most of his work was unknown to most faculty. He dealt with frequent charges by local merchants that the College was moving in on their profit opportunities—in housing, dining, entertainment, and other areas. Unfortunately, he is remembered by more than a few faculty and staff as one who had to say “no” to things that cost money he didn’t have. Lewis was the College contact with Jack Hundrup in the Chancellor’s office. He played a guitar at faculty outings (at the ranch house Katydid off Crater Lake Highway, for example), lending a light touch. His capable business manager was Rick Mattos. The College was extremely fortunate when Don Lewis was very ably succeeded by Ron Bolstad, a well informed executive who served the College much like his predecessor and developed into president of the Ashland Rotary Club (as did Dean Esby McGill before him).

Second, the basic history of today’s university must include that very leader, *Esby McGill*, Dean of Faculty and an absolutely central College administrator from 1960 to 1977. Without this dedicated workaholic the wheels of academic administration would not have turned. The vast services to SOC of this educator (an economist) who became Rotary District Governor and unpaid mentor for founders of many Valley businesses after 1980 didn’t make it into Dean Kreisman’s pages. Innumerable decisions McGill had to make, or made just because he wanted to, were often unpopular with SOC faculty and administrators with different agendas and priorities. I would claim he was allowed to have too much to say, definitely, about who got hired, campus wide, although in Elliott MacCracken, educator and chairman of Science, he met one match. McGill stayed out of athletics decisions because they were presidential level across the hall; otherwise, he was into everything academic and always had to be taken into consideration—or else. He was the contact person with powerful Miles C. Romney at the Chancellor’s Office. My considered opinion
is that he had no qualms about pushing people around, that is to say, people’s feelings were seldom a first consideration for that particular dean.

In his years, workaholic Dean McGill gave final editing to all new program proposals and personally presented them to the Chancellor and/or the Board, usually bringing what passed for success, “up State.” But he was responsible for any number of innovations in curriculum, either by his own initiative or his dogged determination to force SOC’s views onto a State Board that found indifference an acceptable posture to take toward its remote institution of higher learning. I think that McGill came to the Valley with the plausible idea that with luck he could succeed Stevenson as president. It didn’t happen, though he was qualified, and he was ably succeeded by Dean Ernest Ettlich (with a new title). McGill had ability to spare, but he couldn’t help being a smiling authoritarian. There was a time, maybe oddly, when the two of us considered writing a book together to be called “College Administration.” We never actually tried, however, although my cocky remark to him in that connection that “what you don’t know, I do” rings oddly in my ears. We spent our time in those years—he at his level, I at mine, curriculum building, hiring/firing, trying to sway the gregarious rancher president—feeling our oats. Department chairpersons, meanwhile, had a multitude of parallel or related responsibilities that required leadership, though there was little uniformity.

College histories need tributes to the deans who deal exclusively with students, in our case Al Fellers, Mary Christlieb, and Bob Bennett—overworked veterans of the 12 hour day lifestyle considered appropriate for such administrators by the State and SOC’s presidential leadership of the 1950s and 1960s. Fellers and Christlieb certainly seemed totally dedicated to students and were part of the president’s team. Bennett solved many an academic or personal problem among foreign students. He, like McGill, rose to Rotary president. Administration of Stevenson Union and the college food service and dormitories was handled splendidly in my years with the College, but I fear it is normally taken for granted by observers, as is campus security. Phil Campbell was a friend-making staff member (food, lodging) who made the whole college a pleasanter and in some ways a more professional place.

*** *** ***

Athletics crossed my horizon now and then during those SOC years, but I am among the last to be able to make any useful generalizations about the coaches, teams, and faculty that built College football, basketball, wrestling, baseball, tennis, and the rest, including (gradually) women’s athletic programs.
A balanced account would be worthwhile, but this will not be the place for it. Early on, the president was invasive in his insistence that faculty like me be present at sports events; while my wife and I were not exactly unhappy spectators, we didn’t appreciate the duress.

I had always looked on sports as a participation rather than spectator activity. Coaches like Al Akins in football, department chairmen like Gerald Insley, and longtime PE faculty like Bev Bennett, and many others were absolutely essential to College morale, recruiting, and reputation in my two decades and, indeed, throughout much of its history. My relatively rigorous grading kept me from having more than a handful of athletes in my classes—just as that trait dissuaded faculty advisers from placing foreign students in my classes. It is an incontrovertible fact that some well-meaning faculty advisers played a role in making my isolation from athletes inevitable. One problem I had was that very early I went along with terminating a young MS-holding faculty member whose charitable grading notoriously attracted dozens of the athletically inclined to his class rosters. My predecessor as chairman (Taylor) said to me, “Be sure to get rid of him,” but it was an act that invited retribution. (I felt better when I helped that athletes’ friend get placed at a small college.) I treasure the seven months I knew Arthur Taylor before his passing in August, 1963 and befriended his daughter Georgia partly in his memory for decades.

A variety of civil service staff were singularly important to the growth and development of SOC. Close to home, Elizabeth Wilson became all that I hoped for when I hired her away from the head of Elk Lumber Company as permanent executive secretary of the Social Sciences Division. (She was a product of UC, experienced, but not at all the youthful image the faculty wanted. Their wishes had to be ignored.) She, and individuals with similar responsibilities on campus—many of whom I recall for services they performed hourly, daily, and monthly through the years, like Rae Sargenti in Liberal Arts—made all kinds of progress possible. In the Sours Administration they were the ones who put Division and Department files in apple pie order for transfer to archives in the Library. There they sit: a record of precisely how we managed to get our new departments and those new degrees authorized; paperwork on new faculty we hired, and problems surmounted enroute. Fiercely loyal staff make archives and so much else possible, in this matter creating living history.

Sometimes a division chairperson must meet the faculty head on. I admit to putting unwelcome pressure on some in our Division to finish up their doctorates so they could in time move onward and upward—and not outward!
Sometimes I wondered what those who dragged their feet the most had expected from an academic life lived without a terminal degree. As deadlines approached, most forged ahead to do what was so decidedly good for them. Consequently, they ended up thousands of dollars (and promotion entitlement) ahead in the years after they got those long delayed diplomas--thus qualifying for both promotions and long postponed tenure. Several times in the evening the chairman endured tongue lashing on the phone from frustrated faculty who thought themselves underpaid, underappreciated, and unfairly under duress. (Since several factors and a variety of individuals at several levels had influence over salaries, there were many misunderstandings and frustrated expectations on that matter; it could not be helped. Explanations were seldom appropriate, not even welcomed. Impossible situations arose, like three or four geographers sitting down together to divide up a pot of raise money among them!)

*** *** ***

One of the things that takes an outsized amount of faculty time is preparation of new courses. Sometimes existing courses need to be updated. Other times the need is for something entirely new both to the teacher and the future students. It is out of the question to offer the same old curriculum year after dreary year, when the faculty member knows perfectly well that professional journals have articles with all kinds of new information, even new ways of arranging and presenting that which is old. Indeed, one form of academic research is that devoted to building coursework, as experienced faculty know full well. That process is an obligation of faculty membership, and it is a pleasure to mention and pay tribute to it here.

May I be permitted to offer a few words indicating the entirely unanticipated influence a single individual like me can have in unobtrusively bringing structured change of several kinds to an institution, step by small step? That new chairman came to Ashland after a life lived in ultra serious organizations (and on classy fellowships). It was not surprising, therefore, that I sought to build a respectable, permanent, and thought provoking social sciences structure, in depth, on Siskiyou Boulevard. I was obsessed with building intellectuality. Soon I was successful in getting coursework and instructors for theory in each Social Science discipline. Intellectual history, social theory, political theory, economic theory, and, in law enforcement, a required course in Constitutional Law got authorized and staffed. This guaranteed depth in ideas and vocabulary among our majors.
It was made clear to the patient chairman of History, Fred Rosentreter, that I envisioned our History Department offering real “coverage.” English history was already in good hands. Now Asian history, Latin American history, ancient history, nineteenth century Europe, and Russia and the Soviet Union were requested of the State and authorized after more than a little pressure from Taylor Hall. But there were failures: nobody in History showed any interest as I casually sought, in vain, to innovate with Business History and History of Education in our Division. (These could have really filled the seats!)

It was only natural—though totally unexpected—that some historians hoped the Division Chairman (a historian from stem to stern) would stay away from their History Department meetings, and, reluctantly, one stayed away. I hated to let several old-time faculty prevail on this simple matter. Elsewhere, achievement helped my wounded feelings. I got three quarters of Conservation in the United States authorized at the 400g level and persuaded a born teacher, Frank MacGraw, formerly a San Mateo high school department chairman, to teach it over and over, with amazing success. Both of us were pioneers in the new Environmentalism. A MacGraw warning from one of many speeches he gave: “This Valley is going to be another San Jose.” McGraw was a winner.

My research, writing, and publication program meant that the last thing I needed was more bureaucracy, with time consuming committee meetings and endless interaction. I had brought to a close three book projects before arrival: California Social Welfare (1956), Welfare in America (1960), and The Heart Future (1961), the latter covered in a long news article in the New York Times. After coming to SOC, there would be Labor Politics in a Democratic Republic (1964), but it was virtually completed before arrival. A number of new book creations and publications followed during my SOC years, especially that with Edgar Eugene Robinson, Herbert Hoover: President of the United States (1956) and later my The Presidency of Lyndon B. Johnson (1983). My autobiography, a children’s book, this long account of SOC, and Speaking Up for America and writing on the Internet’s History News Network came very long after retirement. Back to college affairs of my era...

**** **** ****

The potential Nursing program got its four year degree due to committee pressure from Sheldon Rio and a tiny group of us who argued and voted in that bloc. Some in the room were hostile or at best lukewarm, favoring a more vocational two years only. Nursing came to attract exceptionally able faculty,
led by Betty Haugen. Almost immediately I took full advantage of my board membership with the Oregon Heart Association to seek $5,000 to begin our Nursing library. There was reluctance (chiefly from medical school faculty) to “divert” research funds to this innovative purpose, but I gave up future board influence by insisting. Passivity is not a winner in committees where everybody wants something (and where one speaks for others)! We who were present at Nursing’s creation were not amused when leaders up North muscled in on the independence we certainly anticipated for our Valley serving Nursing program.

Some faculty will have personal Causes and crusade often for them. Dr. Kreisman, a product of Brigham Young with a year at Harvard, was one who was always in there fighting. His central cause was the Liberal Arts, by the way; one could count on it. In his book he didn’t give himself the credit he deserved for his determination that SOC would be strong in any and all esoteric subjects except those tied closely to the concept vocational. McGill, an economist/educator, had a somewhat different perspective. He had my support (but little other help) when he tried to create a year of Home Economics to tie in with the degree major in that subject at Corvallis. Our sociologists couldn’t warm up to the Social Work I planned for. Too bad, I have thought.

The problems we faced and surmounted in getting the State to authorize the departments and programs born at SOC in the 1960s should be memorialized. Stevenson repeatedly denigrated departmentalization. In what may have been an oversight, the Division Chairmen who carried the burden of this curriculum effort get no acknowledgment for some reason in Remembering. Elliott MacCracken, longtime leader in Science, Burt Merriman and then Gerald Insley in Health and PE, Loy Prickett and Gary Prickett who built Business, Kreisman himself (Humanities), and Education’s Bill Sampson (a dedicated high lakes trout fisherman) get hardly a nod. As one of the outwardly powerful group—Chairman of Social Sciences--I fought an emotional decade-long fight for departments, courses, degrees and especially new personnel in History, Political Science, Economics, Geography, Sociology-Anthropology, and Law Enforcement (later renamed Criminology). Innumerable faculty helped as we struggled to make our catalog look big league despite tough odds.

I wrote the Law Enforcement program literally overnight in midweek, urged on by McGill. It was Wednesday noon when he called. “If you can write it and get it though the Division and Program Committee by first thing Friday, I can ride in on Portland State’s request before the Board on Saturday in Portland.” I did, and he did. That’s the way things are actually done in life.
Such achievements seem to be attributed sometimes in today’s SOU press releases to immaculate conception, when in fact the faculty and department and division chairs debated, drafted, and demanded them—sometimes creating them in committees that met long hours. In our Division’s democratic practices the wishes and effort of all faculty got a receptive hearing from both department and division chairmen—a procedure guaranteed to take the time of leaders, and lots of it. But in the long run the delay due to relying on democracy is worth it. Faculty need the opportunity to contribute, and they must be kept informed. One seldom participated in a major meeting without later sending, pro forma, a full memorandum to a long time department chairman on what had transpired.

Individuals who accomplish things need not always expect credit where credit is due. Do permit some sour grapes. First: “International Relations.” I taught International Studies, American Foreign Relations, and seminars in World History and, say, Africa, repeatedly early on. Frank Haines taught one, Clifford Miller another, though they were not their specialty. The international arena was close to being mine. Yet professors from a decade later are today always credited with beginning the international program at SOU.

Let’s more fully consider “Environmental Studies.” Early in the 1960s I invented and outlined a new course, The Environment, and taught it at first with an irregular number. Eventually, the State approved it as Social Science 212 and offered it successfully over and over in big classrooms as an SOC course that pioneered in Oregon. I also urged geography educator Frank MacGraw to stress conservation in various packages, although he needed no urging! He and Claude Curran (later Division chair) both came to teach SS 212, The Environment, now and then, while popular and versatile Curran would finally succeed McGraw overall. Others who came years later are credited with environmental pioneering at the College. So be it. But I was there long before EPA’s founding, side by side with two (and then other) outstanding SOC geographers. I made sure the Library had the classics of American conservation and ordered many new books on environmentalism as Lady Bird and LBJ provided national leadership on what was briefly called “the new conservation.”

Bornet did indeed develop into an environmentalist in those years. Bob Packwood invited me to his first Dorchester conference (1964); then new to Oregon, I made a mistake by not going. The next year Beth and I did attend that gathering of Moderate Republicans and then made the 400 mile auto trip annually— for the next thirty years (except 1969). Enroute, VDB strongly favored Public Law 100 on land use planning in Oregon, even handing out
copies in class for a time. Earlier, on clean air, I will never forget an unpleasant interchange on one social evening in 1963 when the long retired chairman of Science aggressively debunked Rachel Carson and *Silent Spring*, saying she was virtually a public enemy for her attacks on DDT and pesticide pollution. Although several faculty of that day were adjacent, I stood alone in Carson’s defense, having read the relatively new book and come to agree with the *New Yorker, New York Times*, and a CBS feature on her cause. I sensed: who is this upstart smart-alec from Santa Monica?) Locally, Bornet fought a losing battle to end gravel pit excavations opposite Lithia Park, making page 1 and being accused of this and that. The whole crusade proved an unnecessary strain.

I brought some of the new environmental speakers to the campus who were pioneering in the coming fight for mankind’s minds. I was never a radical environmentalist, however, although I did willingly help keep the sometimes activist OSPIRG chapter alive when it had few friends. Elmo was caustic in his office when I condemned clear-cutting, stumps, and leftover debris on the West side of the Dead Indian highway, clearly visible and irritating to the sensitive. Of course, he was resistant to change in many ways (aren’t we all?), and definitely familiar with long standing logging industry needs. Once he exclaimed in his office, “Vaughn, why do you teach about thermonuclear war and all that stuff [in World Problems courses]?” To me it came quite naturally then. Today, that environmentalism that was at the time so new to me and ignored by nearly everybody else is elementary information indeed.

*** *** ***

At new degree and/or new course time a handful of us sat in scores of Curriculum Committee meetings--conferring, adjusting, arguing, and trading to bring high hopes into being. This process, well below the presidential level of public relations recognition, should not be taken for granted by college observers. Speech chair Leon Mulling (a single man whose estate ultimately benefited the College) was a master of the committee process and helped build his outsized department of *Speech* through mastery of that tricky venue. The non-debatable motion “Table!” was often heard—to postpone a loss. (Off the subject: I once gave *Robert’s Rules of Order* to a graduating senior, writing him that a knowledge of parliamentary procedure can be a real asset in life.)

Most blocs of new courses (and even degrees) were customarily granted by the State Board of Higher Education with little or even no new money. That is an enduring memory of leaders from that era who had to endure the
humiliating experiences that went with Chancellor’s office and State Board second-guessing of everything. (Taylor: “Vaughn, you’ll be going up to Eugene all the time.” I went only when necessary.) Especially vivid after all these years is the way we felt we had to include in all requests an asseveration to the State that “no new funding” need accompany new degree/programs or innovations. Everybody concerned knew that just our growth, and the creation of new degrees and courses, meant sooner or later, inevitably, a need for new dollars for faculty and equipment. But we offered the patently false no funding necessary catch line routinely. It could be charged that we prostituted ourselves in that parsimonious era to the God of Bureaucracy and Good Intentions.

This is as good a place as any to offer the educated opinion that a primary reason SOC didn’t get subject matter master’s degrees in the 1960s is that President Stevenson was likely to shelve them. (No doubt he had his reasons, but none made much sense.) I was in his office when he killed our splendid History masters request—a beautiful document of careful prose emanating with enthusiasm from our then 12 faculty. He muttered to me from behind his desk that since Biology (his field) wasn’t “putting in,” History shouldn’t and couldn’t, either. I can’t remember being more irritated (or more helpless) than on that occasion. It was a rare failure in those heady years, and one I hated to take back to those expansion-minded historians. As it happened, it wasn’t long before routinely typical budget cuts, sequence changes, popularity of sociology and psychology (and a shift toward Business curriculum nationwide) cost us three of those promising historians we had added. Two were newcomers--Hugh Engstom, Jr. (son of a local insurance manager) and William Bilderback, an American historian majoring in the 20th century. With Ashland’s Engstrom we lost Tudor England; when rotund Raymond Smith left we lost 19th century Europe. All had solid careers in their lives, thank goodness (Smith with the Washington system); administrative guilt accompanied such forced attrition.

*** *** ***

The exhausting building of a doctored faculty was a burden, back when SOC was a small college in the middle of nowhere (as it seemed to candidates). We wanted Ph.D.s and some Ed.D.s on this campus for prestige, but we were 350 miles from San Francisco, 293 from Portland. Recruiting was a very time consuming function of the division and department chairs, but it is not revealed in many a College history. It is easy to remember the thirty and maybe more new faculty I signed up (often with collaboration by others, of course), and the obligation I felt to help many of them find housing and get settled.
I recall with irritation the myths I was told on the long distance phone by some previous employers who were secretly glad to ease out their departing one. Once, McGill and this chairman, happening to share the line, were lied to outright by a University of Florida branch dean. (We only found out when the employment papers had long been signed.) There was humor, as when a recently doctored Palo Alto economist exclaimed, “How will I solve my sex life?” and “What about Big League baseball?” (We didn’t get him.) All those contract letters were signed by the presidents, but the scut work of drafting them, and of finding, interviewing, escorting (and elimination later, if necessary) was handled for long hours at a middle administrative level by department chairs and the division heads, all of whom had teaching loads.

Efforts to build inevitably involve defeats, but some mistakes can be avoided by using common sense. Hiring faculty is an art. The newcomers should be good—but usually not quite be one in a million! Working faculty observing the hiring process are likely to be of mixed mind about administrators who habitually sign up “the exceptional best.” Why? Here are new faces to likely to compete with them later on for salary increases and those limited professorships. Don’t venture to hire over existing people very often; better when possible to hire at the entry level. (It didn’t work out well when Elmo early brought in a retiring full professor from San Jose to fill in for several years. His heart just wasn’t in it. I well remember him familiarly opening old bound lecture note books enroute to class before spending the day at a Medford stock brokerage.) Don’t hint at or, worse, promise quick promotion when one can’t actually control it. Perhaps to repeat, building an undergraduate social work concentration (something I personally wanted and Jackson County needed) proved impossible at the time, for sociologists were unenthusiastic. Doctored individuals in the new law enforcement area were then rare and in demand so we didn’t get many, although our talented new faculty forged on to get their doctoral degrees, spending family money, sadly, on themselves.

Retention could be a problem back then. I was mortified when on returning from Christmas vacation I learned that my favorite economist at the time, Man He You, pride of University of Oregon, had resigned in the Stevenson office in high dudgeon after a high voltage interaction (apparently about expedited advancement of his son in an Ashland elementary school). Dr. You went to Mankato State and later UNESCO in Asia. There were other losses. Our Division did watch some good faculty depart, in several cases to Portland State’s urbanized environment—the first loss from Criminology, and we soon lost Sociology’s social work specialist. Good faculty in Music,
Science, English, and other areas left now and then for various reasons, typically for better employment elsewhere or additional graduate training.

Ill health did away with various valuable faculty in those years, and we must have lost some staff as well. Cancer--apparently linked with the ever present smoking of that time, very common in faculty offices--cost the social sciences some good professors then and later. I never, ever, smoked. I crusaded openly against smoking on campus and at Rotary, where I often changed my dining seat, ignoring nasty looks, to get away from smoke. When I protested against smoking in our Division heads’ meetings with President Sours, he issued a written ban; when the addicted proved defiant, the powerful group never met again. It was hard to believe what had happened.

*** *** ***

I’m reminded of an anecdote. Florence and Bill Schneider came from Arizona to Ashland with sizable capital gains in land. She boasted a Ph.D. in social work from Bryn Mawr College and had read my book Welfare in America. She was anxious to teach a course in her specialty now and then at SOC, and I longed for that happy outcome. Sociologists, tepid on that quasi sociological subject, resisted--not to my surprise. I gradually acclimated her to them, and there was mellowing. Dr. Schneider became a staple, a part time faculty member for years in our Division. She came to finance a formal annual lecture. We are entitled to speculate that her satisfaction over time led as cause and effect to six figure gifts like the Schneider Museum and other major funding. (This interpretation isn’t far-fetched.) Florence and Bill were my friends. Once in his indoor pool he said to this fellow swimmer, “Vaughn, did you know there’s a man in town telling his buddies you’re a ‘drunk’?” I silenced that rumor spreader. One must endure that kind of thing when employed in ideologically controversial occupations…. As a juvenile Pennsylvanian who early spent Thanksgiving rooting for Penn at Franklin Field, I miss Bill from Cornell, a big, bluff, good one who left his mark on Ashland.

A few examples of whimsy when seeking new faculty may not be in order, but they ought to be preserved. The president wanted for some reason (goodwill with Medford High School?) to hire a high school Spanish language teacher from Medford for our Latin American History vacancy. I hit the roof. Again, he was ready to sign up for a Western Civilization vacancy one who did his dissertation on Barbados. That idea died when he was told the candidate had no History coursework before 1600. “The sequence doesn’t even get there until
it’s half over!” (As I recall I shouted this.) Maybe they would in fact have made good teachers for us? Several times Elmo hired individuals and I only learned about them when they showed up for work. What, really, can you do? It was he who insisted that all faculty doors in Taylor Hall have glass in them, so all untoward conduct could be witnessed. Faculty quickly papered them over with photos and notices, etcetera, just as I predicted would happen.

Mornings could be quaint. (At this point I have deleted rough draft anecdotes that would amuse former and present faculty but possibly be misunderstood by a few members of the general public. There are differences between these two audiences, and it is best to bear them in mind at all times. Instead, I’ll offer a personal anecdote I already placed in print some time ago.) It was shocking when the President said that his bottom drawer he had FBI files on two other faculty and me, all of whom ranked high in local seniority. Since I had enjoyed Secret clearances in 1941-42 and 1959-63, I laughed and was in no way intimidated by the implied threat. I did not doubt him and was certain how he got them. New President Sours told me earnestly that he threw the files out on his second day in office. One needed a sense of humor back then.

A phenomenon of these decades was the rise of enrollment nationwide in Business Administration. Business divisions became formidable competitors with liberal arts areas. Students looked ahead to see what their chosen major could do for them in the future; the age old goal of “getting an education” principally to improve one’s mind was in retreat. That was the situation the Liberal Arts faced in the greater society, and there was little to be done about it. Humanities and Social Sciences did deplore the practice of majors in Science and Business piling on their own heavy upper division course requirements, so that there would be little room for juniors and seniors to experiment by electing our courses now and then. We lost. At the same time, I twice thwarted efforts to seize Economics and place it into Business permanently. That was viewed by me, not as just a grab toward growth in faculty and students, but as an ideological effort to skew Economics into “practical” avenues. I felt there was a lot at stake in this struggle, which I waged successfully but not alone.

*** *** ***

There could not be effortless growth in graduate work at Southern Oregon College, not even in Business, for the large universities upstate had long offered strong programs. We offered General Studies degrees that were three quarters in length for full time enrollees. They could include a masters
thesis but usually did not. While I strongly favored theses (and won’t argue the matter here), and students were uncertain though a bit negative, theses were impossible to require. Anyway, I remember that after an oral exam on a thesis, a psychology professor on the committee blurted irritably, “I’ll direct no more theses without a load allowance!” Indeed, thesis supervision was a labor of love without reward for the faculty member at SOC. Our College, unlike the universities, gave no credit allowances to faculty who offered graduate instruction, let alone thesis direction, you see. Masters theses were very unlikely to expand in number in such an anti-research environment. (I supervised only a handful, including my son’s on Life’s Henry Luce.) Undergraduate term papers, which could have paved the way to love of research, dwindled through the years—and not just at our college, I think.

*** *** ***

While by title in charge of Social Sciences graduate programs, I bore the burden of some policy control from the college Graduate Committee headed by Extension’s able Charles Ivie and dominated by very long time political science educator Marshall Woodell--one who taught practical politics and had settled down as permanent Director of Graduate Studies. They saw our graduate coursework as primarily a service we were extending to teachers needing raises Valley wide. Beginning almost at once, in 1963-64, I waged a sturdy battle for universal written and oral examinations for masters candidates. It was uphill all the way, but the point of view I favored ultimately prevailed. Neither McGill nor the president sympathized with my position, and on the Committee there was verbal open hostility. It seemed likely to me that when the Committee met face to face one was nearly alone in having actually enjoyed both graduate work and dissertation. (I’m serious.) I was surely alone in having chosen research seminars rather than lecture courses when in graduate school. That was even true, considerably, back at Stanford. My research seminars at that great institution leveled off at well under ten students. At SOC I must have displayed attitudes related to those inclinations. I never took even one course with an Education prefix. A two week Instruction course I took when on active duty in the Naval Reserve--quite good, I thought--surely doesn’t count. No wonder I enjoyed only limited appreciation from the educators who dominated so many College procedures at the time.

Disputes unique to the academic world, sometimes narrow and pedantic, routinely foul the higher education community nationwide. At SOC, in our Division at least, Ph.D. (Doctor of Philosophy) holders, who are subject matter
oriented, fought the hiring of Ed.Ds. (Doctor of Education) holders, who are education method directed, as even temporary instructors for their Liberal Arts coursework. I will not elaborate on two glaring instances of this. One would have thought the world was to come to an end as the Ph.D. fraternity scowled at having qualified education degree holders teach sections of basic courses down the hall for only a few quarters. To help understand this, recall that it was a day when required Education courses for teachers were being criticized in casual conversation by students and faculty alike. “No content.” “Boring.” “Too many.” Surely all the complaining was not justified. But the charges were common then from students and the Ph.D. fraternity alike. Still, I knew fine teachers in Education, for example ever youthful Dave Hoffman, and I was empathetic with the educators. No one is born knowing how to teach.

*** *** ***

The present day university Library, beautiful and imposing, was built and furnished as a structure in the present location, and all responsible for its design and funding deserve great credit. When looking at it I see the books and periodicals. Those contents—the thousands of new books and periodical subscriptions and files that were researched, nominated, and ordered with great pains by librarians and some interested faculty--had to be chosen by somebody before cataloging. I am not reluctant to assert here that I was one of the most active in ordering, interpreting very broadly an assumed mandate to build the Library in nearly every aspect of the social sciences--stressing orders in environmentalism, foreign affairs, race, civil rights, and war. When cleaning out my office a foot of thin confirmed order slips from seventeen years of ordering went out. Librarians, incidentally, made their own substantial contributions to ordering for various departments, although I don’t think many faculty knew about or appreciated all their indispensable ordering.

The Marjorie Bailey Collection, gift of a female Stanford English professor, that contains books by and about Shakespeare and his times, has been developed continuously with the help of librarians and the volunteer organization Friends of the Library. It is an exciting aspect of College stature. Some growth I know more about: Bornet went as a Board member of Heart to the Oregon Heart Association to persuade them to grant five thousand dollars to us to start the Nursing collection. I went to Washington with the incoming Law Enforcement chairman, Joe Dunn (an MS retired from the FBI and solely the President’s gamble) to maneuver money for its core collection. My attention given foreign affairs and international relations was relevant as in the 1960s I
started the New York Times, London Times, some exotic papers from India and Africa, and some government documents series—which were free but rejected before my arrival. My sometimes belligerent insistence that we check “yes” was commonly met with the half-truth “There’s no room!”

The financially strapped president was unsympathetic toward my never ending ordering, saying that “nobody reads all those books you people order that fill those shelves.” (He claimed to have checked to confirm his point. One feared he was technically correct and would pursue the matter--still, “use” need not be until after a long passage of time.) In any case, the Southern Oregon University Library boasts ownership of huge sets of much value, for example, the War of the Rebellion, and the complete papers of Benjamin Franklin, George Washington, Thomas Jefferson, and others of stature. The reference collection pleased me, and the government documents section was splendid.

The Library in its three incarnations during half a century has been a vital part of my perception of a university/college in action. Without adducing a forest of librarians’ names, let me observe that through the years the faculty and students got a superior library: well arranged, stocked intelligently, offering helpful services in great variety, and always cooperating. Its Reference service was a smooth operation; for years one admired Harold Otness, a published author, especially on Taiwan, who was a research scholar in his own right. Deborah Hollens built the ultimately award winning State and Federal Government Documents collection comprehensively.

I had an office in the Library for many years, as my Hoover and Johnson books got researched and there was preparation for new course offerings. I was there innumerable hours and seemed always welcome in the Library faculty lounge. The Rare Book Room was where I read 350 oral histories sent on highly special (really privileged) loan from the Hoover Presidential Library, 25 per shipment. I read the endless Henry Stimson Diary purchased on Microfilm from Yale and the highly detailed and discursive President Lyndon B. Johnson hourly diary for all his presidential years, both funded for me by the SOC Foundation and now permanent parts of the Library.

While some readers may not care for this observation, I’ll dare to venture it anyway: One opined that sustained faculty presence in the Library was somewhat below what was appropriate to their professional designation as college professors. Few will agree, no doubt. Student use of the Library was, I felt, well below what was common in the 1930s at private Emory. Moreover, I
came to feel that the setting up of Reserve Lists and the ordeal of reading library-based term papers should not have faded--as it plainly did during the years of Vietnam when standards declined on campuses nationwide. (At Emory in the Depression we often used Library resources rather than costly textbooks. Our term papers then involved much library use.) These opinions are bound to read irritatingly, but I shall plow on.

In Ashland, I sometimes thought, the homes of professors were a bit too convenient (a mere ten minutes away?). When strolling past empty offices after lunch I once thought our homes were competing too successfully with campus interaction. (Privately, there was regret that faculty baby sitting at home to facilitate spousal employment.) The official “solution” of just requiring a single office hour per day as one way to guarantee good faculty/student personal relations seemed inadequate. Most faculty put in much more time than that working one on one with students. Idealistically (and unrealistically?) I suppose I wanted faculty to be lunching with students (as in my two year instructorship at University of Miami back in 1945-48 when we talked together out on the tropical lawns). Even while saying this, it needs to be stressed that SOC faculty interacted with students more, I am certain, than faculty in most major universities. My own record of casual, unofficial interaction was nothing special, by the way, and of course I could offer a plethora of excuses.

So what about me? My home wasn’t the problem; my passion for research and writing was the on campus “diversion.” I’m afraid I let research displace the afternoon hours once devoted to bureaucracy during the chairmanship years. I spent endless time at the Library researching and writing on Presidents Hoover and Johnson. After I dropped the chairmanship--to the delight of waiting candidates who made it quite clear that they sought the “honor”--the never ending phone calls from the Dean of Faculty finally ceased (“Where have you been?”), and I could relax. To McGill, I was forever a corporation employee. I cooled my heels many a fifteen minutes midmorning in his office while he interacted with his stock broker in Medford. I should have cultivated him on that interest! Should I have joined those perspicacious faculty who annually ordered a side of beef from stock raiser Elmo? Yes, sir!

The Science Division, the English Department, Drama, other departments all over the campus, and administrative units, had their own successes and disappointments in those years. Science did get a new building, better laboratories, and well equipped lecture facilities. Yet high hopes were dashed, campuswide, as money might not be forthcoming to fund equipment. (Federal
dollars flow better to major research universities.) Morale had ups and downs as Southern Oregon College won—or lost—up state, unpublicized.

The public thinks a college is built by its conspicuous figureheads, its coaches, and perhaps by those from the faculty who choose to run for public office or advise corporations. On the contrary, vast faculty time goes into planning new buildings, for decisions have to be made on style, function, scope, and services to be rendered. Department chairmen in these decades gave more than anyone realizes to insuring that a Music Building, an Education Building, a Library, an athletic facility, and a student union turned out well. Faculty who dreamed and worked for a variety of vital results seem sometimes to be bypassed by history’s memory.

Again, individuals are builders of a college campus. One who built esprit de corps within a faculty group was Sheldon Rio, campus academic leader and chairman of the solid Mathematics Department, whose bailiwick seemed to observant outsiders to be a model of constructive unity. Some got a chuckle out of the gold colored sport coats they wore when together, displaying esprit de corps. The large English faculty was close knit and boasted Carol McNair, and Robert DeVoe who converted to painting with unbelievable precision. Lawson Inada’s published poetry got him well recognized. Psychologist Hal Cloer (once trained in engineering) often interacted effectively with issue oriented groups. He drafted an eight page analysis of the SOC life he witnessed in the 1950s.

Valuable administrators like Frank Seeley in Budgeting, the Registrar Bob Davidson, and the Director of Admissions Allen Blazak had challenging work and lots of it. Faculty such as linguist Roger Weeks had hobbies (handwriting analysis) that make one remember them. Betty LaDuke’s paintings brought her international renown during college years and afterward. There are so many more who raised their heads above the crowd, like biologist Frank Lang, who offered the public information and insights in a charming wildlife book still widely circulated through sale offered through Jefferson Public Radio.

An individual who was in the middle of many projects and activities during the presidency of James Sours was Assistant to the President Stewart McCollom, 1971 to the end of the decade. His productive career was enriched by earlier education related activities in the northern end of the state. Later to be County Commissioner in Jackson County, and often affiliated with and a leader of nonprofit organizations in the Valley, McCollom had a mandate to
substitute for busy Sours as some projects began or matured. He was by turns trouble shooter, point man, liaison with upstate officialdom, and facilitator. He had an influential career on and off the campus, and before and after SOC.

*** *** ***

Any college, may it be agreed, exists mostly for the teaching function. Some, especially at huge universities, intent on the crass god of reputation, allow research and teaching to get out of balance—while the students suffer. Elmo Stevenson certainly knew that—and didn’t keep that conviction to himself. I should think no college president, anywhere, paid greater lip service to Teaching, with a capital T, than he did. Praise for his long dedication to good teaching should be unrestrained! Yet to me, he overemphasized in all things the importance of methodology over academic content, no matter what. Many times in the presidential office with individual merit our subject I felt I had to blurt: “But does he know the discipline?” (Interdisciplinary faculty like David Alexander taught more than one discipline (English, Philosophy).) Seldom or never at Southern Oregon College, by the way, did we allow students to fill in for a paid faculty member who went on leave—commonplace in universities.

The State dictated awarding of student-voted Mosser teaching prizes in 1966 got lots of attention. As one who routinely helped determine final salaries, I thought the chosen Plan made no distinction between faculty with, say, four different preparations and a single preparation; faculty teaching 400g (graduate) courses versus beginning coursework; and those whose courses had to be continuously updated, even yearly, versus those who could offer pretty much “the same old thing,” polishing rather than creating. The students had no idea who would be really difficult for us to replace, and I thought them too easily bemused by friendliness and breeziness (even political correctness was a possibility). Our winners in the social sciences seemed to me to be fully deserving of their one time financial recognition. They made us proud.

The creative Honors Program which came and went irregularly, living on a shoestring, is worth recalling. Here, Kreisman, an imaginative Honors English instructor, could take credit he certainly deserves, along with English teacher Richard Byrns, biologist Greg Fowler, historian of England Doug Legg, and similar staples of the program. While some dreamed of founding and operating an organized Honors Program that might approach the prestige of that at University of Oregon, it was not to be, as our program was minimally funded—or not at all. Administrators at my level could save it or kill it with the
word “yes” on minor matters. In the last analysis, faculty were forced to remember that the Ashland college was not and could not really be analogous to a well funded private liberal arts college. Some amenities had to be denied us. (That included the services of both Byrns and Fowler as time passed). My daughter says the Honors program did well by her, and I don’t doubt it.

An annoyance at SOC was trying to control the overuse of irregular catalog numbers to teach anything and everything (thus bypassing the State authorized curriculum and upstate permission). This was much argued--too often in my unwilling hearing. (Faculty sometimes hoped to teach their dissertation or hobby subjects under irregular numbers.) Many more areas of controversy could possibly be recalled--like the grade inflation which was a phenomenon of the Vietnam years (definitely draft-evasion related). The ultimate rationale for it was to somehow aid students, or to just to express comity with war resistance.

Another divider: This outsider thought, casually, that we had too many faculty who were Oregon natives and/or holders of one to three degrees from institutions upstate. It could be a social and intellectual irritant to those of us from elsewhere. I never thought my mostly private university background and Stanford connection were assets in Eugene- and Corvallis-dominated Ashland. I certainly don’t expect my Oregon trained readers to be sympathetic. What real difference did it make? Clearly, all of us have a parochial streak!

*** *** ***

Curriculum matters divide those in higher education; after all, the passage of time can change things. A Curriculum Committee meeting in the early 1960s cancelled the requirement that students take a course in Physical Education. Before doing that, an old injunction in the catalog that all graduates be able to swim got deleted after spirited debate in the same place. One conjectures whether our 1960s deletions should be rolled back considering the focus in the 2000s on obesity in youths.

Preserving the unity and integrity of academic subjects greatly interests some scholars. In those years there were major disputes at Harvard, Stanford—and SOC—over not fragmenting “subject matter,” that is, on preserving year-long sequences. Let’s look: We had close committee votes over a catalog requirement that students take sequences of three name and number related courses in science, social science, and humanities respectively. This practice of
long standing came under successful attack. Preserving that tradition required votes we didn’t have. Actually, much was at stake. Many academic subjects with 3-unit courses stood to gain new enrollees if the traditional requirement should be killed.

The newly altered language allowed students to take up to three utterly different courses in each of three year-long sequences to meet the still retained 9-hour sequence requirements. The long range result was, I know, a disaster for difficult three quarter lower division subjects like Western Civilization, American History, and maybe some hard sciences (physics?). Sociology, speech, psychology and so on blossomed. (Thus Sociology 101 could replace Western Civ 101, so students could begin with Civ 102.) Meanwhile, the old college requirements that all students must take Art Appreciation and Music Appreciation got knifed. I thought ruefully that for my own good I should have been required to take both back at dear old Emory University in my undergraduate days. I would require them at all colleges, if I could, for the history of music and the arts is not easily learned from scratch. I think parents should intervene to guarantee that enrollees take cultural coursework and thwart those department advisors loading them up with course after course in their own departmental “major.”

Prerequisites (basic courses) were then necessary before taking most upper division coursework. How many, and what? were other areas of controversy in that period. This writer cheerfully admits he differed from Chairman of Humanities (soon dean) Kreisman more often than not on nearly every one of such revisionary matters, with him siding always with change and innovation, while this writer usually went with tried and true orthodoxy. Was I thinking back to dear old Emory? Not that anybody cares at this late date, maybe. I rejoiced when my Stanford Magazine’s letters displayed disgust with similar diluting action taken under pressure from “reformers.” The Palo Alto battle was over converting the venerable Europe-based Western Civilization course from the 1920s into World Civilization. Vocal alumni, maybe from rocking chairs, were dismayed at that possibility and didn’t hesitate to lash out in outspoken letters to the Alumni Association.

From time to time at this Oregon institution of higher learning (and many others) there has been heat-generating planning to convert from the quarter to the semester system and from the existing five courses at a time (full load) to three. Bornet had studied at three institutions that had the quarter system with three courses at a time (Emory, Georgia, Stanford). One result of the three at a
time system, I think, no, I know, is much greater familiarity with one’s professors. I remember nearly all my professors! Three for three months, with the class meeting daily, is a formula for rapport. Finally, graduation after 36 courses seems far less fragmented than after 60 courses. I also felt that a student taking five courses with each carrying only three credits meant a certain ease in casually dropping a course—usually the hard one (or 8 AM), of course. (Graduation is expensively postponed a year or so. Parents: move in!) And, students should enroll for full loads whenever possible.

It has been irritating in the Valley to hear an alumnus/alumna say he/she is uncertain in retrospect about both yesterday’s course title and the professor’s name. (It has been hard to get used to this common phenomenon.) Despite eager committee meetings, SOC didn’t change over in these basics in the 1960s and 1970s. Much is at stake when shifting from 3 to 5 or vice versa, but qualified opinions do differ. What a waste of faculty time were those never ending discussions on credits and format, that is, quarters versus semesters and five day versus six day weeks, in meetings one tried to avoid.

*** *** ***

The burgeoning of the SOC Music and Drama Departments in the 1970s might have been detailed here if space permitted, with praise for drama departments faculty Harriett Tobin and Dorothy Stolp and Music’s William Bushnell, pianist Francis Madachi and others. Dedicated Fred Palmer worked to create the Southern Oregon Symphony, directing it, and bandmaster specialist Max McKee did so ably for a time. Both departments boasted other productive faculty. I was the Symphony’s president for two years, facing and getting rid of the serious problems of costly and crippling unionization and the need to use SOC’s Music Building—on which Sours used great judgment.

Singer Ray Tumbleson’s virtually unrewarded development of the fine Rogue Valley Opera (aided by Stewart McColloM), and Greg Fowler’s success in shoring up the Chamber Music Society (all helped by others, of course) were innovations. A piano specialist who apprenticed at SOC and went on to Ph.D. work at University of Oregon’s music degree program and later to a professorship at University of Arizona is Billie Raye Kean (later Erlings). The Britt Music Festival’s development was furthered by SOC money and equipment—but they once left the borrowed college piano out in the rain. Some music faculty member had to lose out on summer employment to make possible the early funding of the Britt orchestra leader’s stipend to come south from Seattle.
The Art Department had a long wait to get its ultimate housing; only frustrated hopes accompanied years of endless planning meetings until a good solution was found as Art created a home. All those connected with PE take pride in Emeritus track professor Dan Bulkley’s world renown as senior citizen athlete. Apparently a super hobby, that activity followed a long career in coaching. His is a unique accomplishment, for he holds world records in many events. SOC’s stature in wrestling, born under much honored Bob Riehm, is noteworthy, for they became national champions three times in his day. SOC athletics then and now has had good rapport with local citizens. Of course, the Indian as our symbol underwent the condemnation then common nationwide—for no good reason—I thought; so it is I treasure two bone china mugs bearing the cute little Indian with hatchet that we display.

The Faculty Lounge that was provided in our Britt building, a crummy place, really, was for some of us in the Sixties a rallying point and almost social headquarters, although some groups like Science and English came to desert it in favor of their own ingrown coffee areas. A memory is football coach Al Aiken’s bulky presence and rough humor; basketball coach Ted Schopf hung around too. But it was boisterous Arthur Kreisman, laughing and poking fun, who made the place a lively refuge. It was solely in the old Britt lounge that I got acquainted with English professor Angus Bowmer, the founder—with the help of the College and many interested townspeople—of the Oregon Shakespeare Festival, an institution that has often interacted with the College.

As the years passed (with the union in being) Stevenson came to think that outspoken chatter in the faculty lounge was a breeding ground for discontent and planning for changes. When it was abruptly closed I led the protests, waging a bound to be losing fight. One letter I wrote Don Lewis was downright belligerent, I think. I remember idle talk (but little planning) for creation of a faculty restaurant and overnight guest rooms in Swedenburg House, but nothing came of it. There was much brown bagging among the underpaid faculty in those days; it got in the way of dreams of a fancy restaurant facility as at Eugene and Stanford. Our numbers base was inadequate to any club-like effort, anyway. Ashland itself was an obstacle, for it provided scores of restaurants and B & Bs as well. Omar’s was the locale for Bornet luncheons with agreeable companions year after year. (Two anecdotes relating to that enterprise are best left untold here.)
Most of the construction of today’s plant (the Music, Drama, and Education buildings, etc.) took place in President Jim Sours’ years. But Taylor Hall, an early 1960s structure, a design disaster, emerged early from an uncompromising architect prevailing then with the President. I arrived a year too late to be in on major design features but did block a done deal to put all faculty office desks in one big room to guarantee cheaper heating. I dragged the president over to Taylor Hall during construction to try to stop placement of sun screening cinder blocks on the panoramic mountain view north side (which lacked sun). He agreed, but gave in to opinions voiced by ye architect.

It was fun to create the redundantly named Taylor Room in Taylor Hall for seminars and oral exams, using swivel oak chairs scrounged campus wide and an historic oak table from rural Jackson County donated by Mary Hanley, an Oregon pioneer and dear friend of Taylor and me. On it I financed an ephemeral plate glass top. In my day the room became the totally appropriate locale for those innovative graduate examinations and other serious gatherings whose members luxuriated in those tilting swivel chairs by the oak table.

*** *** ***

Many instances of constructive contributions by the various college presidents to college/town relations, friendship building, and enlarging of the college Foundation should be included in our histories. The several decades that President Elmo Stevenson devoted to SOC’s development deserve appreciation. President Jim Sours, once a Harvard political science student, was my idea of one who offered calm and constructive leadership for a time to a campus which needed it. I wrote him a three page letter from World Campus Afloat shipboard in South Asia before his own arrival from overseas teaching in Turkey, advising him candidly on what I thought was needed to bring the College into the 20th century. His reaction pleased me. For whatever reason, Sours entrusted me with several time consuming and meaningful assignments in his years. While his health held up our families had pleasant evenings together. His final time in office, marked by failing eyesight, was controversial and unhappy, but I honor his memory. Not so President Natalie Sicuro, who I think lacked appeal for most faculty outside PE but must have looked adequate to some townspeople.

*** *** ***

The tiny 10 watt campus FM radio station KSOR did not began broadcasting classical music to Ashland and much of Medford for awhile after
State and FCC authorization in 1960. KSOR was the major and almost the only radio voice in the whole Valley that carried **classical music** for, say, opera lovers when it got going. With Russell Sadler crusading frequently in the AM it became an unabashed voice for the new environmentalism. Students hoped in vain to make it chiefly an announcer-training facility! Nor could they convert it to the intrusive Sixties music! Ron Kramer’s imaginative and crusading Jefferson Public Radio (JPR) leadership beginning in 1974 brought new station outlets, even in rugged areas, and new AM capability, serving a 60,000 square mile region of the United States! The importance of this unit of National Public Radio to regional valleys cannot be overstated. Its director’s dedication to quality public radio for over four decades may be unparalleled in the Nation. Worthwhile FM and AM radio has impacted on the 700,000 or so people who have come to tune in to SOC’s voice. Some join JPR’s Listeners’ Guild and contribute to a JPR Foundation which sometimes came to venture a bit afield. Its region now stretches from the ocean to Idaho and Eugene to Redding. I think it desirable that the College name should always be part of JPR’s public relations—a point I often called to various presidents’ weary attention.

I have surely kidded myself that a detailed two page factual letter on our Valley’s unrequited need for classical music that I wrote May 17, 1974 to Chairman Richard C. Wiley of the Federal Communications Commission was a bit of a wake-up call to that body that a Valley suffering from cultural stagnation needed relief. (He said in a personal but bureaucratic reply that I should protest at each station’s license renewal time!) Today, oddly, our local AM and FM radio stations, courting money and ratings, still ignore classical music. Two causes--disinterest in culture and a quest for profit--came with absentee owners who apparently never listen to their own lowbrow signal. The sad thing is that people are used to the mediocrity on most radio stations, say I.

Major SOC contributions to Oregon and the Northwest, vital services, need inclusion here. One must praise English professor Robert Casebeer’s decidedly original federally funded Project Prometheus (designed for high school students). Max McKee’s huge international Bandleaders organization has become an Ashland tour de force. Then there have been the Christian Athletes, Debate Tournaments, Cheerleaders, the giant Christmas gift bazaar in Stevenson Union for the whole community (sadly abandoned eventually).

A Naval Reserve unit met on campus (led for a time, early on, by Bob Edwards, who ultimately departed for far away Corning Glass). We remember the group fondly. Some World War II faculty (from various services) who
helped themselves get “50 points” toward federal retirement in the 1960s were Marshall Woodell, Loren Messenger, Doug Legg, Wayne Hood, Dan Bulkley, Vaughn Bornet, and the part time SOC instructor I sought out to teach Constitutional Law, Judge Loren Sawyer. From the community came Richard Herndobler and Al Willstatter. We had serious lectures as we earned points.

Higher Education in Ashland has been a godsend for older citizens who live here. The Elderhostel program at SOU was born in 1980. It has been one of the most successful of all the programs worldwide; it was bolstered by the growing Oregon Shakespeare Festival organization and Ashland’s charm. Later than our period came SOLIR, subsequently to become OLLI--Osher Lifelong Learning Institute. Both it and Elderhostel (one of the largest such units) are very appealing to adult citizens. Gutsy science professor Ron Lamb founded with patient determination and innovation a Natural History Museum that featured environmentalism. Rather than brutal carping, he and Mrs. Lamb deserve gratitude for what they struggled so hard to do. (The new science museum and the exciting federal forensic laboratory came after our years.)

Contributions made to the College by financial donors such as the Carpenter Foundation and William and Florence Schneider and by local citizens who served long and ably on both sitting and ad hoc College boards and commissions need to be extolled. I recall pleasantly the regular 50 minute presentations Dunbar Carpenter made on Valley environmental matters to my new class on The Environment. Pear smudging was (to coin a phrase) under fire in those days. Faculty found financing of dissertation completion very hard, so Carpenter Foundation support for that in some cases was welcome.

There are entire historical accounts waiting to be written about such subjects as the Science Division (especially), Art, Physical Education, and so on. Professor Marvin D. Coffey drafted a history of the Biology Department, I’m told. Science was able to prepare its majors well for graduate training, and it got federal and other grants. Many names rise for recognition: Chairman Elliott MacCracken, Mike Flower (who left for Jonas Salk Institute, friendly Stephen Cross, Chemistry’s Ken Bartlett, Monte Elliot, Wayne Linn—named at random, they whet the appetite for a published narrative history of Science at SOC—including Geology. It is embarrassing to leave so many faculty off this page. Will someone do a thorough account of the growth of Science at SOC?

Music and Drama need their histories, for the development of their buildings and many programs is much too intricate for outsiders to handle
comprehensively. They have long interacted with the Oregon Shakespeare Festival and occasionally with Britt. Members of the Drama faculty developed the Oregon Cabaret Theater on their own; it became a half century source of pleasure for many. A Dinner Theater on campus was another Drama innovation. (To balance all this, some failures--like killing intercollegiate swimming just as the brand new pool was filled—are best forgotten.)

The English Department (mentioned earlier) was one of the largest on campus. Its important chairmanship rotated in these years, with the leadership of Ed Hungerford beginning in 1966, and Don Reynolds, Charles (Chuck) Ryberg, and James Dean among those serving ably in the post. English was kept busy with its entry course called Writing, which had many sections. It was a very influential part of the curriculum. English faculty had high visibility on the SOC campus and its members participated actively in campus activities. Jim Bowen, for example served on the Lectures Committee with me, facing students we tried to educate in parliamentary procedure and worldly matters.

Southern Oregon University has been strong on welcoming international students and featuring their varied cultures. The College story should include memory of a Hawaiian liaison in the 1950s (when the islands were a Territory and high school graduates were entitled to Oregon in-state tuition). There could be up to fifty here then. The International student program has become multi-continental in focus. It was led over the years by various individuals. Dean Bob Bennett dealt with students from overseas on a personal basis. The Dankook and Guanajuato connections have been of long standing. Professor of Spanish Chela Kochs and Jose and Betty Ferrer (he hailed from Argentina) share credit for developing the Guanajuato University interaction, offering their time and homes. President Sours, Fred and Barbara Rosentreter, Ruth Bebber, Betty Harbert and others who went to Korea and worked there even after sunset served the Dankook interaction. Bornet loaned his office to a visiting Dankook professor for a useful 1969 sabbatical year, but one never taught in Korea.

Interaction with several Japanese higher educational units has been common, especially in summers. Hosting barely college age and almost always laughing teenage Japanese girls in summer was a memorable adventure for all during the building of self confidence in the young women. Southern Oregon College (eventually State College) could have relaxed idly in backward looking parochialism and insularity, but every one of its various presidents helped make sure it did not, guaranteeing the increasingly traditional International Week strong backing. Ashland has been and ought to remain a good town for
international students. Asia and Africa, and Arab countries, have supplied many satisfied individuals who got solid educations. At this writing I am willing to surmise that Southern Oregon University will prove exceedingly popular with international students as the twenty-first century progresses.

Four year higher education needs protection against invasive actions by community colleges and similar Lower Division coursework facilities, in my view. SOC stepped aside passively and cooperatively for three decades, under pressure from both OIT in Klamath Falls and Rogue Community College in Grants Pass. SOC was intended to grow, but plans lost out to enrollment elsewhere of masses of students in nursing, law enforcement, office skills, and assorted liberal arts work of the freshman and sophomore years. In my view, the heart was cut out of the steady growth to which the Ashland institution was clearly entitled. State leaders knew the campus infrastructure was being officially planned and built in the Sixties and Seventies for 6,000 students—nearly a thousand of whom never came. Planning for a time was even for 8,000 students! Both Taylor Hall and the Library had foundations for two more floors; the money was wasted."

The entire SOC administrative team went along with the enrollment competition for several reasons. We were helpless to thwart it. We groused privately all the way. Still, innumerable students in Grants Pass and Klamath Falls and elsewhere saved their time and gasoline. They enjoyed college-level education through their sophomore years. They also paid far less than enrollees at state colleges and universities. There is no claim here that their community college courses were substandard in any vital way. But shouldn’t students who were graduation bound have been in SOC’s four-year college environment earlier? It certainly appears that an accommodation has been reached between Medford and the Ashland institution on future development in each locale.

*** *** ***

"Having a campus home base means something to a student during formative years! I am profoundly convinced of this and proclaim it at a time when there is growing conversion to “on line” collegiate education with its vast financial advantages. Living four years immersed in an American collegiate environment does change young people for the better. **Online students should frequently flock together “idly” as a way of enhancing the college experience, I think.** Some who for one reason or another go to community college should have been studying all along with a painstakingly selected and largely doctored faculty (like that at SOC), who possessed extensive specialized experience in
ways of keeping their academic discipline up to date. Yet it is evident that those who transfer out of two year programs to what I might dare to term “the big leagues” do very well in moving onward and upward, even to conquering graduate programs. And those financial benefits are undeniable.

Some students in my years and today enroll in some upper division work at SOC concurrently with Lower Division work elsewhere. So it is that it is great that Rogue Community College earned successful development and status in Grants Pass and Medford. I do wish it wasn’t related in earlier years (as I am claiming) to limiting Southern Oregon University’s campus enrollment later on. It is easy to document that the two year liberal arts programs have helped SOC/SOU several ways in the long run. Even so, past cooperation with community colleges was demonstrably sacrificing and inhibited our growth (which only reached above 5,000 in 2009). All know, nevertheless, that the 21st Century is seeing innovative interaction, heavily publicized, between Ashland, Medford, and Grants Pass institutions in this day. The new surroundings in revitalized downtown Medford are bringing a bright—and sound--future from which all will profit.

*** *** ***

Many of Southern Oregon College’s routine services to its region, activities never praised and seldom even mentioned, need highlighting. Many dedicated (and barely solvent) college faculty taught Extension courses during evenings and weekends from Klamath Falls and Lakeview in the East to Gold Beach and Brookings on the coast, and north to Roseburg. Teachers in local schools got their required graduate credit without pesky summer enrollment. Pay was meager and the highways mountainous and dangerous. Once, as midnight approached on Highway 66, Jose Ferrer spun a college station wagon 360 degrees on the icy surface when Ashland bound; fortunately, he emerged intact and returned unscathed to his lovely wife Betty. The Ferrer family annually hosted all the History majors and faculty in their home and gardens with effort and expense, by the way.

Even Division heads like Bornet with children in college did Extension teaching, in my case in Klamath Falls, Lakeview, Gold Beach, and Grants Pass. Now and then somebody tells me of their gratitude. The Extension Division that arranged all this extracurricular teaching did not make it into Remembrances, nor did Charles Ivie and friendly Larry Helms, its directors for several decades. Former Municipal Judge Richard Cottle, then City Attorney
Harry Skerry, and scores of similar local leaders who taught courses on the campus (especially but not entirely in Business, and usually at night) performed yeoman duty. Attorney Skerry taught business courses for as long as fifteen years for payment well below faculty rates; faculty wife Barbara Rosentreter taught Writing for much less pay than came to her tenured companions in the faculty down the hall. Before concluding this account of Extension work by our faculty (and their spouses!), it may be justified to point out that unlike many state systems, Oregon did not offer free tuition or discounts to the children of university and college faculty. It was not just regard for remote students but present or future tuition costs that fueled Extension Teaching!

Sometimes campus programs, intellectual, musical, and cultural, are dominated by Administration, but at SOC there was much student control of budgeting and spending for activities. Bornet served on the student dominated committee for Lectures and Performing Arts for his whole time at the College, as we tried to stretch ten thousand dollars a year to cover all kinds of visiting specialists. Entertainment came under a Programs Committee; Ed Hungerford served for a time on that. Faculty who joined in this thankless interaction effort with student committees over the years—inexplicably and none too pleasantly from four to six PM once or twice a week--should have been rewarded with thanks from someplace, but I can recall none.

Those who think student committee interaction easy wouldn’t have liked meeting and dropping speakers at the airport; I didn’t, except maybe for A. M. Schlesinger, Jr., although he flew out at midnight after two routine hours with me at my home, shaking far-Western dust from his urban shoes.) Governor Vic Atiyeh was fun, but I told him bluntly there was no smoking in my car. John Hope Franklin, the distinguished black scholar and his wife, were exciting visitors for four interacting days, expanding our friendship from earlier years. When home he grew orchids as a consuming hobby in his Chicago roof garden. Franklin was a pioneering black guest in college and our remote county alike. It was eye opening to drive the conspicuous and decidedly handsome black pair to Crater Lake, stopping now and then, absorbing major attention enroute.

*** *** ***

Town and Gown (relations between faculty and students on the one hand and townspeople on the other) can be a troublesome subject for colleges located in small towns, nationwide. Professors and merchants are not natural soul mates. Ashland has done fairly well in preventing open warfare, since Southern
Oregon has really worked at being an unusually cooperative—really a self-effacing and friendly--local Ashland citizen. Its leaders were astonishingly responsive to complaints and even to potential protests from merchants in the greater community. Not being a rural or small town boy, I then thought much of this caution unnecessary, even humiliated.

Some areas in which the College hesitated to compete daringly with local enterprise (as the universities certainly did with impunity at Eugene and Corvallis) were Bookstore merchandising, housing in general, food service, and recreation facilities. *SOC got a multipurpose student union and a health service, nevertheless.* Successive presidents kept campus economic activity closely reigned in. Our cautious real estate expansion (engaging in essential home purchase for construction and parking) was handled with tact, I thought, judging from newspaper accounts of expansion.

While the unpainted concrete dormitories north of the Boulevard seem overly cost-cutting (in my view), the campus in general has grown into a beautiful Ashland asset. Architect Vince Oredson helped with many design elements at crucial points (note the inside décor of the Music auditorium and the Library of those years). Landscape architects ultimately planned the permanent tree placement that has added up to an increasingly green and welcoming—even spectacular--campus. The many dormitories, the dining halls, the student union, the playing fields, and the general atmosphere are all commendable. The visible university environment is a knockout all year, but especially in the autumn when a riot of color dominates. Able Tidings Editor Ed Roundtree donated a carillon to SOC. For a time there was a noontime organist. (Predictably, some Ashlanders soon complained of noise pollution.)

We Division heads discussed innumerable campus problems to no satisfactory conclusion over the years. One to be gingerly mentioned, considering everything, was the question of a pedestrian overpass or two across the Boulevard. My mind just doesn’t want to bring up many facts on this long term non-academic matter, but I do recall the not quite jovial but frustrated (not serious!) remark, uttered several times, that “what we obviously need, if we ever expect anybody to authorize an overpass, is a solid tragedy involving a college student; *then* somebody’s going to pay attention.” (Nobody thought a tunnel a good idea.) Sure enough, in 2007 a car hit a SOU student at the very point of contention back then. We discussed that possibility in the 1970s to no conclusion. Some problems never die; only loved people do. There has been new action by City and University to save lives; and there will be more.
Additional women who impacted our College need highlighting. (Kreisman memorializes female pioneers from the Fifties and earlier, for example venerable Registrar Mabel Winston.) Asian historian Betty Harbert, Scientist Irene Hollenbeck, Dorothy Stolp, Lorraine Skaff (now Skaff-Winger) from Business, and Sociologist Cecile Baril were teachers who must be remembered. Dr. Harbert pioneered in Asian history and helped at the very beginning with innovation on women’s studies. Many women like Professor Baril filled administrative positions in my years. Marythea Grebner ran the Stevenson Union with ability and attention to detail before departing for Idaho.

Education’s Betty Lou Dunlap was another woman who helped build SOC, replacing Marshall Woodell as head of Graduate Studies. (Nobody except me cares, I’m sure, that President Sours told me I could not have that job because “I have to appoint a woman.”) Betty Haugen led the nursing program for years with sound judgment. Professor Hollenbeck was a scientist with whom I served on the board of Ron Lamb’s initial modest hope to found a natural history museum in Lithia Park. (My son Steve painted the building gratis as a Boy Scout project, a portion of what got him his Eagle ranking.)

Southern Oregon College in the Sixties and Seventies had a remarkable Summer Session. Burl Brim from Education especially helped achieve that, and some Stewart McCollom projects were summer related. It pained me to visit the quiet summer campus of later years; but odious comparisons can be skipped. We did have a full curriculum during summer in the Social Sciences and most other areas, even teaching out of sequence courses in Western Civilization, American Government, and Geography, for example. Students could begin or continue College careers in summer, if so inclined.

Seminar style coursework for teachers during the summer sessions, that is, 400g and 500 level, was imaginative and innovative (if I may be permitted the bias to say so). We brought in a variety of speakers from California and the Northwest to varying attendance from students and townspeople alike. (Bornet chose a great many scholars he knew, chiefly from Stanford and RAND.) Some of this was funded by grants from the Oregon humanities and arts groups. Those constant efforts to cultivate intellectuality, then uphill most of the way, might be so much simpler in the increasingly sophisticated Ashland that exists in this new century…. But cable and satellite TV (with NPR and C-SPAN) has
impacted live intellectual programs on the Ashland campus, in my unscientific opinion, costing them audiences.

Individuals whose employment makes it possible to live in ways that don’t require full freedom for their spoken and written words may well shrug. *Persons who never test limits (perhaps never need to?) may live out their lives not knowing they are part of the unfree.* Those grating demands that we had to join, chaperone, and “participate” during long evenings by attending or doing this and that should have been revealed to State administrators--and then abandoned. Those most affected just went along as five PM didn’t end the work day, or Friday the work week. Several presidents owed a debt to always reliable Doug Legg (like this author a reservist Commander, USNR) as they borrowed him to investigate and solve occasional touchy personnel problems.

*** *** ***

As a refugee from several large cities and four famous urban based nonprofit organizations in this vast country, I found the small town campus of 1960s Ashland to be constraining. “Just say no!” was not feasible at good old Normal or SOC. “Handle our visitor from Jamaica, at your home, Vaughn. You’re the only faculty member appropriate to do it.” We were happy to host that dark skinned native of the Caribbean, for it was a pleasure to mingle with any and all outsiders in those Valley years. That was a case of do it once and be done with it. But other things were semi-permanent commitments. One was made aware that it was better to join those local organizations, to give those speeches, to join those boards, and attend all kinds of events than face an irritated, salary setting president, sooner or later.

I told a gregarious Stevenson on a variety of occasions I would *not* do as he urged. “Become president of Knife and Fork, Vaughn.” I said I needed evenings to prepare lectures. “How about the Elks?” Then McGill and Taylor said, “Join the Rotary Club,” and I just did. It seemed to make sense. I had *never* before considered joining a service organization. Joining Elks would have been the road to acceptance from old timers, and so would local church membership. Both were mentioned. I did neither, but if I were doing it over, well, I guess I’d seriously consider both and use the human connections wisely. Said Taylor presciently and helpfully, “You’ve got to join lots of things, Vaughn.” I don’t claim that every faculty member felt overt pressure the way I did in my particular job; surely many got by free from virtual duress.
The arrival of President Jim Sours (direct from academic life in Turkey!) put an end to the era of impinging on faculty lives. His wife Alice, who relished being employed, quickly put an end to the actively pleasant but sometimes invasive Faculty Wives Club. The over-enthusiastic involvement of Mrs. Esby McGill, long a campus wide pacesetter, faded in light of the disinterest of Mrs. Sours. “I’m just not interested,” Alice said to Beth and me. I am advised it never met again. Nevertheless, we old timers are quite able to remember those social activities pleasurably, whether optional or not. Faculty collegiality, as in the many social functions of the Stevenson years, was once common.

Moreover, there was a time in the 1950s and 1960s when the social life of Southern Oregon’s faculty and spouses in their Ashland homes was an important part of the institution’s being. The freedom of conduct practiced by that faculty after Stevenson departed will be celebrated by those of us who think civil liberties must extend to personal lives. Yet one can still regret loss of the dinner parties and special events involving husbands and wives that vanished with paternalism’s intrusive hand (and also suffered from the rapid increase in color TV viewing in home living rooms, it seems to me).

Secretly, I think Vaughn and Beth Bornet both came to miss the more sociable early years of the College—whatever the underlying reason why they existed. After all, it is always nice to be entertained—and entertaining isn’t all work. Those who chiefly did the entertaining in those years were the president and his wife (aided by a state subvention for entertaining purposes), the deans and division heads, and the institution itself on special occasions like Homecoming in the fall. Four who often had faculty cars coming to their driveways were Mrs. Flora MacCracken (in Science), Mrs. Evelyn Kreisman (in Humanities), Mrs. Barbara Rosentreter (in History), Mrs. Lillian Insley in Physical Education, and Mrs. Beth Bornet (Social Sciences), but there were certainly many others. Those parties of maybe forty people did break the routine. Reciprocity from most of those invited was not really very practical.

Semi-annual faculty visits to the State owned “President’s House” on Elkader were invariably pleasant enough occasions, with even the gardens filled with conversing faculty and spouses. Meanwhile, only a very few faculty got together daily at lunch on campus; to be found at Stevenson Union most days were elements of the English and Art Department faculties, whom I joined now and then. (How I had enjoyed lunching with young Emory University faculty decades earlier--1939-40--as Hitler waged aggressive war in Western Europe.)
An array of College faculty and administrators in my day gave speeches to Oregon clubs and groups, almost entirely without pay. Some entertaining speakers like Arthur Taylor had long been the lifeblood of now major organizations (in his case the Southern Oregon Historical Society, which would not have survived 1962-64—maybe at all—without him). Division chairmen like gregarious Taylor bore a time consuming burden of representing SOC off campus. An Iowan, he became surefooted on Local History of Oregon and told me I must become the same. The reply was, “Absolutely not. I did Local History in Florida and Georgia, and I’m all through.” I might as well assert that I soon developed into a frequent speaker on serious subjects on the service club and organization circuit: groups like retired telephone workers or engineers. I once checked: there were 52 articles about me in the paper during my local college employment. A long July Fourth speech of mine (1966, to over a thousand people in Lithia Park bandshell) was published verbatim in the Tidings—filling nearly the entire editorial page in patriotic and conservative editor-owner, and conservative, Ed Roundtree’s day. (Then was then!)

President Stevenson spoke innumerable times, region wide, admitting cheerfully that he gave much the same college-extolling speech every time. “Vaughn, the difference between us is that you change your speeches; I give the same one!” Public relations man Hugh Simpson enjoyed working at this forlorn activity, as did Political Science chairman William Cornelius; both failed to attain the elective offices they craved as a byproduct of laboriously building name recognition. While SOC leaders did not achieve the legislative posts they sought in my day, several served on the City Council, among them Frank Haines, Don Lewis, and Don Laws, the latter an Ashland High School graduate who served several decades of constructive Council membership. Gary Prickett was an activist mayor who changed the City importantly.

The extracurricular speaking function of a variety of SOC faculty, taken for granted by appreciative Valley audiences, was a major contribution of SOSE, SOC, SOSC, and finally SOU to clubs and organizations for a hundred miles or so in every direction. In my case, I cheerfully say again that I issued short press releases mentioning my College connection and the thrust of my thoughts. One year, three History faculty including me discussed World Events every Sunday afternoon on local TV. It was early in the 1960s that Betty Harbert, Doug Legg, and I briefed Governor Mark Hatfield on Vietnam for over two hours after breakfast at my home—while his regular bodyguard enjoyed
standing by.) I don’t claim that we framed or even changed the future U.S. Senator’s individualistic, controversial, and nationally interesting future opinions (born at Stanford) in a major way, but we had prepared thoroughly. He was appreciative and left with reluctance. He gave a ringing endorsement in 1976 on the jacket of the Hoover book his old professor and I authored.

*** *** ***

Dedicated research in Alumni Association records could produce for some future researcher worthwhile figures on numbers of alumni who became professionals, male/female ratios on graduation day, numbers who went to graduate school, and totals by division, department, and major. How many new nurses? Law Enforcement specialists? Accountants? Coaches of various kinds? Enrollment figures would have interest: Californians? Others from out of state? By race and age? From the East? Here is a good topic for a master's thesis. Most faculty, one supposes, are like me in especially remembering “that boy” who went on to finish his Ph.D. in history at UC Santa Barbara, or his law degree at UO, or became mayor of Ashland or a member of the State Senate. There are many such success stories, but it would be nice to have some numerical facts as well as only recalling the names of various individuals, as most of us tend to do. I would also like to see comparative figures on Federal grants to OSSHE units including applications, awards, and equities as between institutions, but this could prove to be difficult research.

The more solvent and/or more community minded leaders of this region who give time and money to College (now University) board membership get recognition from the President for their essential efforts, and they should. It is not always convenient to give up evenings and/or afternoons to absorbing and discussing the problems of Higher Education, especially when they seem so unresponsive to even the most careful planning.

While it has been suggested by an early reader that I offer generalizations on “the kind of students” SOC attracted in my years, there should be caution. Students differ. They came from rural and small town Oregon, but at the same time from Portland, California, Saudi Arabia, and Nigeria! Some went on to graduate school, entered medical school, and became community leaders. Others, as one might expect, did not aim high and a few from all groups could and did have unpleasant characteristics. Sadly, many dropped out. On balance, it sometimes seemed the girls were superior in quality to the boys, yet the latter were affected adversely (it certainly can be assumed) by the continuing threat
posed by the draft’s relation to the long and devastating Vietnam War, the rise of environmentalism, and aspects of the generation gap. Surely attitudes toward Learning were affected by the spread of “the pill,” consumption of cheap beer in quantity, dramatic changes in musical taste, the generation gap, the sexual revolution in general, and never-ending turmoil. Grades no longer went home!

The academic environment nationwide did not get through the 1960s unscathed. It is an incontrovertible fact that I could get more work out of our students in 1963 than in 1979; earlier, they were, by far, more in awe of learning (and its purveyors), and I was more likely to enjoy being around them, especially before the arrival of even a partial drug and beer culture to campuses. I also thought then and believe now that they needed more sleep than they got. Widely distributed color TV, I came to think, was academia’s born enemy.

Opinions here on “student capabilities and attitudes” would have little value, for the handful of students in chemistry and pre-med and the vast numbers in, say, art, PE, or criminology may not have much in common. It is an accurate observation that SOC had lots of students who were the first in their families to attempt college. These newcomers needed, deserved, and in my opinion got, noteworthy personal attention in SOC’s atmosphere. The very idea of “college!” was foreign to a great many Oregonians, and the spoken English of many a new arrival was error-filled. (One student revealed how his father slapped him when the returning student ventured to correct paternal grammar.) Several honor societies helped student leaders to achieve their potential, I’m told. Campus groups like Tri Zeta and Robes, for example—were part of SOC student life. I was proud of trying to increase internationalism across the campus as my personal goal apart from classroom teaching and Division administration, but the heavy duty efforts of Chela Kocks and Jose Ferrer were measurably more meaningful, especially in Mexican matters.

Many, actually a great many, students came to our College after a quarter or so of failure or unhappiness “up state.” The larger and supposedly better institutions these transfer students had attended failed somehow to serve these students well. (Sorry if this offends any readers from up there.) Time and again those youths succeeded after enrolling with us and were outspoken then and later about what SOC “did for” them. This reiterated opinion—and its reality—was one thing that kept faculty morale up. Our professors and administrators suspected in those years that faculty up state seldom thought of us, and the bureaucracy in State offices in Eugene could not remember our latest updated college name, or even find us without a road map.
The alumni of what a tiny few tried lamely to nickname “Southern” have settled down primarily in Oregon and the Northwest. There’s nothing surprising about that. They have largely financed the Southern Oregon University Foundation, comprise the Southern Oregon Alumni Association, and seem to enjoy receiving their publications. Alumni can go to the Plunkett Center of Swedenburg House and look at the pictures of non-faculty builders of the College. Since graduates are a central part of any college history, one hopes they never feel taken for granted. It needs to be said that the more solvent residents of the Rogue River Valley, long time residents and newcomers alike, have been splendid as they have donated hundreds of thousands of dollars to the SOU Foundation, making projects like the new Library finish up on schedule. Here, the vast generosity of the DeBoer family (Lithia Motors) had a major impact. It does seem a long time ago when the State built the new Drama building but didn’t finance the required furniture needed inside it.

A summary note about Bornet may not be amiss at this point. My career at Southern Oregon College went through stages. Initially, I busily adjusted to my professorial routine and at the same time the chairmanship of a major subject matter division. Other college tasks gradually invaded these functions. Then came research and writing on a large book about President Hoover. When that was published, I signed a contract to do a major book evaluating President Johnson. Before these, Beth joined me in a nearly full sabbatical year (1969) devoted to faculty membership on the World Campus Afloat, involving a four month trip around the world! That summer brought research and writing at RAND. Eventually, I let the chairmanship go to concentrate on research; the act pleased waiting replacements, but the president and deans thought I should hang in there. The decision to quit administration was easy and never regretted.

Late in 1977 there came after breakfast with no warning at all a major heart infarction, apparently hereditary in nature. It was not operable, and I spent 20 days in Ashland Community Hospital, during which time I was ominously visited by deans McGill and Lewis, and I graded my finals. Returning to work after some months, I moved out of my large office for a cleared out oversized closet down the hall. The unanticipated resignation of President Sours and the arrival of a style with his successor I found intolerable, plus a book contract, led to a decision in June, 1979 to favor full time research during whatever time might remain to me. Though definitely recovering via swimming and walking, I decided to retire from College life effective January 1, 1980. Accounting professor Arnold Wolfe and Dean Ettlich offered
invaluable guidance at the time. I planned to devote all working years for awhile to research on the Johnson presidency for Kansas Press—and to relaxing camping. Sailing was replaced by golf. Sixty-three years had passed; were many more years left?

*** *** ***

Before concluding this often serious, but even so informal and outspoken commentary on the early modern history of what has become Southern Oregon University, I would like once again to salute the book Remembering. Employees like me, who had an underpaid and rocky ride through two decades at that inadequately funded College, and who endured internal turmoil in its corridors, can’t forget many of the disputations of yore. Yet the time I spent jousting with Deans Kreisman and McGill on substantive subjects for nearly two decades does not seem wasted. As Arthur’s friendly colleague, I cheerfully salute him, and am pleased Esby had a constructive retirement.

A final and thoughtful rumination about President Stevenson, ruler of his College for a quarter of a century, a leader characterized in unvarnished prose now and then in these pages—though not enough to satisfy some of his surviving critics I have found—may also be equitable. The College was his Life in every sense. When the new student union was ready for a name I wrote (and they published) a strong letter to the college paper, the Siskiyou, insisting that only Stevenson Union would do. It had been in his era, post-war to 1969, that the college we knew had been assembled as a viable unit that would endure. Many who thought they knew elements of the story of my troublesome interaction with the rancher president over civil rights and intellectual matters were surprised at my sturdy recommendation. They did not understand that no matter what, the two of us never cut the strings of official civility. Ever since that breakfast in their home during the holidays of December 1962, I cherished a warm feeling for Mrs. Stevenson. For his part, Elmo never questioned my dedication to advancing College fortunes in every way in my power.

To sum up: Oregon educator and botanist Elmo fought the fight for “his College,” no matter what. Somewhat properly, possibly, as he saw things, he always put students, not faculty, first. A few of us from distant urban America sometimes bore the aspect of blocking his deep-felt agenda. Thinking back to when he hired me: signing me up as full professor and a top administrator he assumed that my obvious assets would turn out to be convertible to his purposes. Didn’t he ever sense that here was an uneasy fit for his key vacancy?
I certainly thought he could guess there would be side effects from hiring a Research Historian! He had wanted an educator—no doubt about it. I was far too slow in recognizing the implications of the matter. President Stevenson told me several times with emphatic conviction, “You are the best scholar on this campus, Vaughn.” I can’t know if he ever said that to others. President Sours was kind enough to direct the same words to me twice. Neither implied, even remotely, that I was “the best teacher.” Far from it! Considering everything I endured at Southern Oregon College, it helped my morale that I heard nice (if readily debatable) judgments on my Life now and then.

Some members of the faculty, in my Division and sometimes elsewhere, showed signs of respecting my research and writing activities. Maybe they were just being nice, but I noticed and remain grateful. Since I was chairman over various chairmen, with alleged powers remaining over a few vital things, there was a little inclination toward nervousness now and then down our corridors. How far might “that man” inflict research obligations on the careers of faculty who had little or no intention of adding research to their full time teaching?

There was something else. That my wife and I attended the moderate Republican Dorchester Conference each year in mid-winter rubbed Democrats of whatever persuasion the wrong way. When I unsuccessfully ran as a Republican for national delegate committed to Nixon (to thwart Goldwater, it was hoped), the restiveness was virtually out in the open. (To my friend Bill Cornelius, Political Science Department chair, running for the Legislature, and performing active party functions like County Democratic Party Treasurer for many years seemed perfectly OK, partisan or not.) Yes, there were a few radicals down the corridors, as always in academic life. By the way: conservative viewpoints openly on display do better in Business, PE, and Science; they can be fatal to job continuity—even to friendly acceptance--in the social sciences. (My research on Hoover in the early Seventies and publication of the not unfavorable book was the last straw in the matters here discussed.) I believe political bias in the social sciences to be fact, not opinion, nationally and locally alike. It is well recognized and commonly documented. There is nothing new about the matter. We are absolutely entitled in America to enjoy political party membership, partisan activity, and freedom of speech. Even so, overt political partisanship just has to end at the classroom door.

*** *** ***
The controversial Vietnam Era overlapped considerably with the nearly two decades I spent working on 12 month contract--year around--for our Ashland college. More than a few colleges experienced real violence at the time. Perhaps some of us were a bit nervous about our safety, especially with strangers coming and going during summer sessions. After all, our Ashland institution and community were good resting spots for bombers and arsonists who might commute on interstate I-5 between the dangerous violence occurring at Fresno State to the south and bad things happening in Eugene to the north. In light of several credible threats, I kept a baseball bat in my cinder block encased office. (I heard “I’ll get you!” several times from scruffy collegiate hippies during that dawning drug age.)

When considering the Sours “flag lowering incident” on the Britt/Churchill front lawn in May 1970, bear in mind that the lowering was to half staff. Most protest mail to Sours seemed not to know that. I was not involved, but I did write Governor Tom McCall precisely on my views. (He replied fully.) My 23 years in the Naval Reserve might have induced confrontation had I been in charge. Patriotic, but not SOC serving! Eric Allen, Tribune editor, supported Sours; Ed Roundtree of the Tidings resigned from both Board and Foundation. Incoming letters were divided. Our College—though halfway between the embattled Eugene and Fresno universities--did not suffer real violence. As one who has read presidential files on the “lowering,” I think Sours came out very well whatever the sniping then.

Overall, much of 1963-1980 should be remembered as emotion filled and even enervating for both college leaders and the classroom environment. I don’t doubt that many sequestered faculty and preoccupied students hardly noticed then, and on reading this today may be surprised. The expression is: “They don’t get it.”

The work of local legislators on behalf of Southern Oregon College should be acknowledged, for there were times when Valley residents read in their newspapers an announcement from a state representative or senator that the College had successfully achieved or was arranging funding for this building or that. Various public servants then had much to do with happy results. State legislators Earl Newbry, Ed Branchfield, Jim Redden, John Dellenback, Al Densmore, Brad Morris, Leigh Johnson, Ben Lombard, Jr., and Lenn Hannon devoted energy to College well-being in those years. While these pages are critical of SOC’s funding levels from the Legislature, the Board, and the chancellor’s office, it is inescapable that College funding could have been
even worse! Keeping State funding from sagging to possibly crippling levels was essential to Ashland’s economy, for new buildings meant local dollars.

SOC’s people occasionally needed the support of individuals within the chancellor’s office like A. Freeman Holmer, who helped to persuade the Chancellor to *force* justice from the System in my case. To a five minute visitor I had reluctantly agreed to serve on a local AAUP civil rights committee planning a look at an English instructor’s refusal to sign a controversial loyalty oath. I didn’t know him, but was told nobody in our Division would serve! In a few days I was curtly notified in a one sentence letter signed by the President that I would be terminated at the close of twelve months from date: June 28, 1966. I had been a full professor three years, six months. I kept serving as chairman, performing all duties! In September I introduced new faculty from the floor with appropriate class when Stevenson called on me. It was weird.

The ensuing battle over this Division Chairman’s future lasted through summer session into October, when I drove my car to Eugene. It took rational action upstate to end that unpublicized crisis as I sweated out four tense months with only my lawyer Legislator Ed Branchfield’s vigorous protest and several conversations as action on my part. As I sized it up, publicity would be fatal to possibility of rollback, for “face” would have to be preserved. Since this chairman was (uniquely?) not in any department, the History Department voted me tenure as a late gesture they thought might help! I declined offers of aid from two local newspaper editors and from the AAUP and the ACLU, choosing instead to pursue a quiet and stressful path to a solution to the contretemps. For details, see my published memoir, *An Independent Scholar, pp. 312-318.*

Meanwhile, Bornet was the conspicuous July Fourth speaker in 1966 in the Lithia Park Bandshell; the speech was published in full on the editorial page of the Tidings. Four tiring months later I won my job battle: both professorship with tenure and my chairmanship. One could say that Academic freedom for college administrators won with me. No doubt about it. A full apology came from the president-in-retreat in due course, with the promise of permanent tenure. Especially gratifying to Beth and me was the retreating President’s contrite typed phrase, “...with this retraction I wish to ask your forgiveness....” [Italics added.] Few knew anything unusual had been happening, but in those four hellish months my future health may have been somewhat impaired.
Those of us whose jobs were primarily instructional could assume that various others did their jobs commendably behind the scenes. There was constant fund raising through the years, for example, so that the SOU Foundation finally passed the twenty million mark early in the 21st Century. Good fund raising by non profits depends on many hard working individuals—like Ben Tyron—whose many activities are not always known by the faculty.

Numerous faculty members who once taught and worked at Southern Oregon College in our two decades are now gone. Yet many emeriti still survive at this writing. A look at their names in the Emeritus list in my SOU Faculty and Staff Directory suggests that while many still live in Ashland homes, others reside elsewhere on retirement checks that are often well below the public’s idle and even hostile guesses. (I kept elderly geographer Roy McNeal on the payroll for extra years in the 1960s, because his coming pension was going to be irrelevant.) Most of our retirees from faculty and staff still live in the greater Northwest. They did their share to educate and prepare for life the high school graduates of their era. School marm’s in the old West didn’t get all that much measurable thanks, and maybe yesterday’s retired faculty won’t either, but who can say? Teaching is likely to be an occupation with rewards that may well lead ultimately to personal contentment.

I am eager to bring into memory all of the students, both undergraduate and graduate, that the faculty taught in these two decades. Of course it can’t be done. I will mention my own multicultural daughter Barbara Stumph in California, who profited from two years at SOC before leaving for advanced work in Chinese language and culture at the East West Center in Hawaii. My upright son Stephen Folwell Bornet, now in Connecticut, served two lonely years on the carrier Yorktown off Vietnam and elsewhere, returned to graduate at UO, got a masters degree at SOC, rose in the Reserves to Cdr., USNR, and obtained a company financed MBA at Pace University. My niece Joy Smith, once a frustrated student in Pennsylvania, happily got a Liberal Arts degree from the SOC campus. She left SOU her vast Japanese bell collection for permanent display, which it values at $11,000. (Do pardon my pleased inclusion of these relevant SOC-related family successes here.)

Various faculty wives enjoyed graduate work in my day: Betty Jean Campbell, Barbara Cloer, Char Merriman, and Joan Legg are fine examples. A variety of students who attended made their mark in our community. From a long list: philanthropist Karen Wood DeBoer, volunteer and legislator Jerry Barnes, Rotarian leaders April Sevcik, John Harmon, Gloria Thorpe, and
entrepreneur Duane F. Smith; teacher Mary Schlotter, dentist Tamara Hald, lawyer Todd Maddox, Alumni leaders Ron Singler and Steven Nelson—to name some is to overlook thousands who enrolled at Southern Oregon College long ago. One hopes those who survive remember their teachers, while we take pleasure in recalling them as students and admiring them as useful citizens.

The Sixties and Seventies were troublesome decades for the American people, so they could not be expected to be any easier for college students and those who were responsible for them. As I have stressed, it was the time of the Vietnam War. It was an era here and there in society of drug experimentation, growth in consumption of alcohol, sexual license, religious ferment with exotic forms of belief, and of political strain involving controversial figures in office and still others contending for power. There were innovative changes in music and the arts, and modifications in how people of all ages sought out and enjoyed entertainment. The very concept of traditional marriage began to undergo modification. Agitation for equal rights for Blacks, Women, and the Disabled was heard in the Land, meeting with a mixed reception. The environment at SOC was not untouched by all this ferment—which was mild and peaceful by Eugene and Fresno (and Columbia and Kent State) standards.

Were those good years for faculty, students, and staff at Southern Oregon College? Compared with what? Surely most of those who came from near and far away to walk our indoor corridors and pleasant outdoor pathways emerged feeling rewarded for the years in which they taught or studied here. Were very many locales for seeking and getting a higher education really “better” than ours? Professors here were exceptionally well motivated. Our students had access to virtually the same relevant library books and texts boasted by other institutions. I think few students got short changed in the 1960s and 1970s at SOC, and most got a very good deal for the money and time they spent.

It is more than a little tempting to ruminate on events and life at SOC after 1980, offering details, and venturing opinions. Best not do that. If there is virtue in this account, it is that its author was very close, in person, to much that is described here. Above all, this has tried to be an account—a very rare one—of life in a small college in a small town in the American Northwest. Outright conjecture (though surely present here even if infrequently) is to be avoided. Mentioning several succeeding presidents will do no harm, however: Joseph Cox rose to System chancellor. Presidents Steve Reno, Elisabeth Zinser, and Mary Cullinan were and are dedicated, even devoted, academic officials and community leaders whose names come at once to mind when considering the
era after 1980. Each appears to have been honored in some way by their peers elsewhere in the Country. One reads with empathetic appreciation that Southern Oregon University underwent a quite successful reaccreditation in the 21st Century, a tiring exercise led by President Cullinan’s attentive team.

*** *** ***

It is embarrassing to contemplate the vast number of subjects this memoirist somehow managed to neglect enroute. Some will be named: Successes of the Science Division with its graduates. The popularity of Education Division alumni with teacher recruiters, both elementary and secondary. The campus art gallery upstairs in Stevenson Union. Maintaining student self-government year after year. Campus publications, including many issues of the *Siskiyou* newspaper and what was featured in it. Development of dedicated, satisfied, and generous audiences for serious music in the beautiful Music Building’s 435 seat auditorium.

Then there was the rise of Drama as a major player in campus coursework and activity—and in Oregon; the development of women’s athletics statewide and pushback to it for a time; struggles in the Lectures and Performing Arts Committee over “radical” speakers and happy compromises; education minded local citizens whose support with big dollars built the SOC Foundation over the years; quiet growth of volunteerism as a favored activity for students; initiatives by College presidents and others over the years that worked out well (or didn’t survive); finally, the problem faculty had with attending professional meetings and help given by the Carpenter Foundation in defraying travel expenses. Helpful to Ashland was participation by College faculty in any number of social service organizations and clubs—certainly including churches and their activities--through a great many decades. Several religious organizations maintained headquarters adjacent to the campus in small homes, year after year.

Many of the problems that concerned institutional Administration in our decades seem no longer to be causing difficulties. As for turmoil occasioned by different views on policies and procedures (often the focus here), my firm view is that disputation “goes with the territory” of higher education—and it must be expected, endured, and resolved. After all, some SOC/SOU handicaps appear to be permanent: There is the isolation that involves many travel hours south of Portland, Salem, and Eugene; it remains an obstacle to being always in the minds of urban leaders. Funding problems continue, and not just for higher
education. One matter must be emphasized: a basic System integrity in equitably financing this remote though incalculably valuable unit of the Oregon State System of Higher Education is a basic entitlement of this permanent institution. Occasional rumination in print about closing the Eastern, Western, and Southern universities should be roundly scorned and its authors energetically corrected with facts. Pride and enthusiasm over heavily funded intercollegiate football upstate must not ever be allowed to divert attention from fair distribution of Oregon’s higher education dollars. The struggle of regional leaders for equity in the funding of teaching and research must continue to be kept in focus year after year.

To finish up: One can only guess at the visceral reactions some readers may have had to these rather open and sometimes candid pages, which do treat all kinds of subjects, while accidentally, perhaps, neglecting some others just as important. Hopefully, leaders who were remote and unknowable strangers have emerged, better lighted, from the shadows. Because any number of issues of those years never got much of a public airing after their time in the limelight, they could now be just a little more understandable. Possibly the College of yesteryear has become more comprehensible for all who have read this extended account with patience and, hopefully, with growing empathy.

*** *** ***

Would I again enroll my own children at Southern Oregon College’s successor institution? Yes, indeed; I would. The Location is downright bucolic. The Faculty care. The Administration is traditionally student oriented. The Library is light and airy, properly staffed with trained personnel, and loaded with innumerable periodicals and well selected books. Music and Art are appreciated and funded. Athletics, male and female alike, appear to be appropriately emphasized. An International Flavor is cultivated. For this day and age the Southern Oregon University campus has been free of serious scandal, and students normally seem safe from harm. Ashland and adjacent towns are proud of their institution; indeed, the three college oriented small communities in a row—Ashland, Talent, Phoenix--have come to deserve the amalgamated label “college town.” Finally, SOU degrees are acceptable everywhere that evidence of academic stature is expected and demanded.

Make no mistake. The now virtually venerable educational institution which sits at an altitude of 2,000 feet at 1250 Siskiyou Boulevard, surrounded by green grass and tall trees, positioned across the upper Bear Creek valley
from mile-high Grizzly Mountain, has been a factor in Ashland life--yes, and California life, too, for innumerable decades. Yet to many of us who invested time and emotion in and near its classrooms it bears the aspect of being forever young. Indeed, the college starts over every year as the new students come, escorted happily yet nervously by one or more parents who hope for the best. Busy registration days (and commencements!) that briefly link together parents, faculty, and youngsters, are traditional academic rituals. May they continue.

Perhaps this narrative will somehow help to make today’s Southern Oregon University appear to be an exciting, even vibrant place, one where freedom is taken seriously. Substantive disputation over differences of opinion has become acceptable in these parts. Individualism in conduct can be tolerated, though it is unlikely to be ignored and is likely to be publicized. Overall, here on this lovely campus is a locale suitable for engaging in Learning. It is one, given time, where Valley citizens, newcomers, and especially students are likely to focus their warm and proprietary affections.

***** ***** ****
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